1 BEFORE THE ORANGE TOWNSHIP 2 BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS 3 4 : 5 In the Matter of: 6 Public Hearing -Conditional Use 7 Application, : Variance Application. : 8 9 10 PROCEEDINGS 11 before Members of the Orange Township Board of 12 Zoning Members; Chairman Kelvin Trefz, 13 Vice-Chair Sue D. Ross, Stacey Neff, Joe Pax and Nikolas McCoy, held at Orange Township 14 15 Hall, Moffett Room, 1680 East Orange Road, 16 Lewis Center, Ohio, called at 6:00 p.m. on 17 Thursday, January 16, 2025. 18 19 Also Present: 20 Eric Gayetsky, 21 Senior Zoning Officer, Philip Ambler, 22 Zoning Compliance Officer. 23 24

1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll call the BZA 4 5 meeting to order. Anyone who intends to testify, please raise your right hand and be 6 7 sworn. 8 Do you solemnly swear that the 9 testimony you shall give shall be the truth, 10 the whole truth and nothing but the truth; 11 state I do. 12 AUDIENCE: "I do." 13 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: When you come up to testify and please state your full name, 14 address and affirm that you've been sworn in. 15 16 Thank you. 17 MR. GAYETSKY: Good evening, Board 18 of Zoning Appeals Members. Thanks for your 19 participation. 20 And we know that on this first 21 meeting of the 2025 year, we're going to have 22 a couple new cases. Both of those are related 23 to the property that is owned by Nissan North, 24 but they are separate parcels. So you

2

1 probably already noticed that they will be 2 done as two separate cases corresponding to 3 those parcels.

And then after the items of new 4 5 business, we will be doing a training. And 6 it's great to see an alternate as well here 7 tonight. I think the training will be a 8 wonderful session. It's an excellent 9 opportunity to ask questions, if you've 10 prepared those, or think of those between now and the start of the training. And it will 11 12 also involve a presentation of our Township 13 legal representative, Julie Donnan, will be present. She'll be arriving here within the 14 15 hour to read that portion of the meeting, so 16 we have that to look forward to here in just a 17 little bit.

Alright. Well, I think that with this being a New Year meeting, technically the first item of business is going to be the election of the Chair and Vice-Chair, so I'm not sure if you wanted to have any discussion amongst yourselves, but your next again decision is to elect the Chair and the

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

Vice-Chair. Take it away, Kelvin, with the 1 discussion. 2 3 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Is there any nominations for Chair? 4 5 MS. NEFF: Well, we have a new Member. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Well, yes, we should --8 9 MS. NEFF: Maybe he would like to 10 introduce himself. 11 MR. GAYETSKY: Let me bring our 12 new Member into the --13 MR. MCCOY: I don't want to be 14 Chair or Vice-Chair. 15 MS. NEFF: Surprise. (Laughter.) 16 MR. GAYETSKY: That helps us. This is Nikolas McCoy. Nikolas is our new 17 18 regular Member. We apologize, we're still 19 working on his name tag, but we do promise to 20 have that here in the next meeting. But 21 Nikolas McCoy, thanks for being here tonight. 22 MR. MCCOY: Yeah, please refer to 23 me as Nick; otherwise, I think you're my 24 mother and I'm six again.

4

1 MS. NEFF: It's very nice to meet 2 you. 3 MR. MCCOY: Nice to meet you. CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Thanks for being 4 5 here. MS. ROSS: Do we need to do roll 6 7 call before nominations? 8 MR. GAYETSKY: Sure, let's take 9 roll. Okay. I'm going to go ahead. Alright. 10 Mr. Trefz. 11 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Here. 12 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 13 MS. ROSS: Here. 14 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 15 MS. NEFF: Here. 16 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 17 MR. PAX: Here. 18 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. MR. MCCOY: Here. 19 20 MR. GAYETSKY: Alright. So now we are back to the Chair vote discussion. 21 22 MS. ROSS: I nominate Mr. Trefz as the Chairman for 2025. 23 24 MS. NEFF: Second.

5

MR. GAYETSKY: Alright. So Motion 1 made by Ms. Ross, seconded by Ms. Neff. Those 2 3 voting: 4 Ms. Ross 5 MS. ROSS: Yes. MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 6 7 MS. NEFF: Yes. MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Trefz. 8 9 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll abstain. 10 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 11 MR. PAX: Yes. 12 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 13 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 14 MS. ROSS: Congratulations. 15 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Thank you. 16 MR. GAYETSKY: Alright. With 17 that, we can move onto the Vice-Chair. 18 MR. PAX: I make a Motion for Sue 19 Ross for Vice-Chair for 2025. 20 MS. NEFF: I'll second that. 21 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Mr. 22 Pax, seconded by Ms. Ross. Those voting: 23 Mr. Pax. 24 MR. PAX: Yes.

6

MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 1 2 MS. ROSS: Abstain. 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Trefz. CHAIRMAN TREFZ: 4 Yes. 5 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. MS. NEFF: 6 Yes. 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. McCoy. MR. MCCOY: Yes. 8 9 MR. GAYETSKY: Alright. 10 Congratulations. I'm going to make one small 11 change. I notice that we're short a 12 microphone here, so I'll give that to Mr. Pax. 13 Alright. So we'll move into the 14 first case for the evening, as mentioned at 15 the Nissan North site. And then, specifically 16 this property is located at 8600 North High 17 Street. This property -- well, I should 18 state, for the description the Applicant is 19 seeking four area variances from the Orange 20 Township Zoning Resolution to allow for four 21 wall signs to be above the allowed 15 feet, 22 three area variances to allow for Electronic 23 Messaging signs, one Conditional Use to allow 24 for a free-standing sign, and three area

7

variances to allow for a free-standing sign to 1 2 exceed the maximum allowed height and display 3 The subject property is owned by Nissan area. North, Inc., and was previously the location 4 5 for Columbus Mitsubishi North. An Exterior Modifications Permit No. 20240486 was approved 6 7 on December 13th, 2024 for the site to undergo 8 changes, including a modified parking area and a 3,400 square foot building addition, as well 9 10 as material updates to the exterior. So, for the surrounding area to 11 12 the north, the zone designation is 13 Incorporated Area in the City of Columbus. The land use includes Commercial Use, which is 14 15 Pet Suites Worthington. To the south it's 16 also the City of Columbus, Incorporated, and 17 the land use is Multifamily Residential. То 18 the east, it's also a City of Columbus 19 Incorporated Area. The land use is Single Family Residences. And to the west the zoning 20 21 is Planned Commercial and Office District, so 22 within the Orange Township jurisdiction. And 23 the land use is Nissan North, which is 24 existing dealership, as well as the U.S. 23

1 right-of-way.

2	So here's the close-in view, which
3	gives you an idea of those varying land uses
4	on the three sides, U.S. 23 to the left, and I
5	should say to the west, and then we'll see
6	go to the zoomed-out aerial and you can get a
7	good idea of the City of Columbus bordering on
8	those three sides, and you can see the larger
9	property immediately to the west, which is
10	also Nissan North owned.
11	The next portion is the Staff
12	Review for Conditional Use. I will allow you
13	to refer to some of this text on the page, and
14	I will move down to the criteria that we found
15	most applicable.
16	So it starts with Criteria No. 3,
17	a determination that the proposed sign meets
18	all of the following requirements. Subsection
19	a, the sign is a monument freestanding sign.
20	The applicant is proposing a monument style
21	freestanding sign as shown in the Exhibit 1
22	below.
23	Subsection b, the maximum height
24	of such sign does not exceed 8 feet above the

U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

average grade of the site and the sign is 1 2 located at the distance from any street 3 right-of-way line, as required. According to 4 Exhibit 1, the proposed sign will be 30 feet 5 above grade. The sign is proposed to be set back 48 feet and 8 inches from the property 6 7 line adjoining the right-of-way off U.S. 23. The sign exceeds the required height by 22 8 9 feet 0 inches, and a variance is requested. 10 Subsection c, the sign does not 11 have more than two sides or surfaces. The 12 sign as proposed will have two sides. 13 For d, the display area of any one side or surface does not exceed one half of 14 15 the total display area permitted. The single sign face totals approximately 99.4 square 16 17 feet, when only 64 square feet per face is 18 permitted. So a variance is requested. 19 For e, the total display area of 20 all surfaces does not exceed 32 square feet, 21 or a maximum of 16 square feet per side or 22 surface, when the sign is located 15 feet from 23 the primary frontage street right-of-way line. 24 For each additional one foot setback from the

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

street right-of-way line, and additional 8 1 2 square feet of total display area, or maximum 3 of 4 square feet per side or surface, will be 4 permitted up to a maximum of 128 square feet 5 of total display area, or maximum 64 square feet per side or surface, as permitted. The 6 7 proposed two-sided sign will total 8 approximately 198.8 square feet. The sign 9 will be set back 48 feet from the right-of-way 10 along U.S. 23, so only 64 square feet per side 11 is permitted. That means a variance is being 12 requested here. 13 Down to g, no part of sign such 14 will be closer to any street right-of-way line 15 than 15 feet, nor closer to the property line 16 than the applicable building setback line, if 17 the adjoining property is in a Residential 18 District. The proposed sign will be set back 19 48 feet from the street right-of-way line, which meets the standard. 20 21 That is the extent of our 22 responses in that Conditional Use 22.04(a). 23 We will direct your attention to 24 the Exhibits. They're all taken out of the

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

Applicant's materials. So this is Exhibit 1, 1 which includes a measurement from using our 2 engineering software, Bluebeam, and we were able 3 to come up with a measurement from the edge of 4 the right-of-way to where the proposed sign 5 would be, which is 48 feet and 8 inches. So 6 7 you heard that described a couple times in the 8 previous section. Moving on to Exhibit 2. 9 This is the monument sign that is being proposed. 10 This is being proposed to replace that smaller 11 existing sign, so you can refer to that. 12 Alright. So we're going to move 13 along from the Conditional Use Review to the 14 15 Variances Review. This does include a number of variances. Again, this is for the monument 16 sign. 17 So, the first variance would be 18 the sign height is a variance from Section 19 22.049(a)(3)(b). So the text here states, the 20 21 maximum height of such sign does not exceed 8 22 feet above the average grade of the site and the sign is located at the distance from any 23 street right-of-way line, as required. 24 The

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

proposed sign would have a height of 30 feet 0 1 2 inches, which exceeds the requirement by 22 3 feet 0 inches. This is roughly, and again, this is -- the math is a little bit different 4 5 here, but you generally like to see the percentage request. So this is roughly a 275% 6 7 variance request from Section 22.04(a)(3)(B). 8 For the next variance, this 9 section is the Sign Display Area. The 10 variance is from Section 22.04(a)(3)(d). The 11 display area of any one side or surface does 12 not exceed one half of the total display area 13 permitted. The single sign face totals approximately 99.4 square feet, when only 64 14 15 square feet per face is permitted. This is a 16 roughly 155% variance request from Section 22.04(a)(3)(d). 17 18 For the sign display area, that is 19 the third variance to the sign, and it's a variance from Section 22.04(a)(3)(e). And I 20 21 will allow you to refer to that text, which we 22 had previously described in the Conditional 23 Use Section, but essentially that the two 24 sides may only total up to 128 square feet.

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

The proposed two-sided sign will be 1 2 approximately 198 and 8/10 square feet, or 3 198.8 square feet. The sign will be set back 4 48 feet and specifically 8 inches from the 5 right-of-way along these 23, so only 64 square feet total per side is permitted. This is a 6 7 roughly 155% variance request from Section 8 22.04(a)(3)(e).

9 Okay. So now we will move along 10 from the monument sign to the wall sign 11 review. This is the Staff Review of, again, 12 the four permanent wall signs. We'll start 13 with -- and all of these are facing the west, 14 the west side. When we describe the signs, 15 we're generally referring to the letters, the 16 lettering on them.

17 So for Exhibit 2, that's Wall Sign 18 A, the North letter. We did run this through 19 Bluebeam as well to get the exact measurements 20 for the heights. And so this is an area 21 variance from 22.03. 22.03 states, and I'll 22 jump down a little bit, such signs shall be 23 located on or along the wall of such building 24 which faces a street, parking lot or service

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

drive, and shall be located no more than 15 1 2 feet above finished grade, or the height of 3 the ceiling of the first floor of the 4 building, whichever is less. So Bluebeam 5 measurement is 23 feet and 1/2 inches. The applicant is proposing Wall Sign A to be 6 7 placed at a height of 23 feet and 1/2 inches. 8 A variance of 8 feet and 1/2 inches is being 9 requested. This represents a roughly 53% 10 variance request from Orange Township Zoning 11 Resolution Section 22.03. 12 For the second of the four wall 13 signs, that's Wall Sign B. That's the Nissan 14 logo. And then that wall sign the applicant 15 is proposing to be placed at 25 feet and 0 16 inches. A variance of 7 feet and 5 inches is 17 being requested. This represents a roughly 18 49% variance request from Orange Township 19 Zoning Resolution Section 22. 20 The next sign is Wall Sign C with 21 Nissan lettering, and that would be measured 22 at 23 feet and 5 inches. The applicant is 23 proposing Wall Sign C to be placed at a height 24 of 23 feet and 5 inches. A variance of 8 feet

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

and 5 inches is being requested. This 1 represents roughly a 56% variance request from 2 Orange Township Zoning Resolution Section 22. 3 For on the fourth of the wall 4 signs, Wall Sign D, that is the Service 5 lettering. The Bluebeam measurement here was 6 7 22 feet and 11 inches. The applicant is 8 proposing that Wall Sign B to be placed at a 9 height of 22 feet and 11 inches. A variance of 7 feet and 11 inches is being requested. 10 This represents a roughly 53% variance request 11 from Orange Township Zoning Resolution Section 12 22. 13 Exhibit 6 is just informational, 14 15 so you could visualize all of the signs on the building front together. Alright. So we're 16 through most of the variance requests. 17 The last three pertain to three 18 electronic message board signs. They are 19 mounted to the wall, proposed to be mounted to 20 21 the wall, but they have that LED component 22 where they can be programmed to basically provide a number of -- whatever needs to be 23 24 shown, it looks like with regard to direction

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

in this case, because they are located above 1 2 the future glass door egress points. 3 So, this is an area variance from Section 22.05, Prohibited Signs. 4 The 5 following sign, so this describes that the following sign shall be prohibited in Orange 6 7 Township. And in Subsection b, signs not 8 otherwise specifically authorized by this 9 Resolution. So EMC is not authorized 10 specifically by the Zoning Resolution, so the 11 applicant proposed an electronic message 12 center. 13 This is the first of the three. On the left side, I did put those red circles 14 15 for your familiarity, but you can move that 16 over the garage door entrance and it does not 17 comply with the above referenced Zoning text. 18 Moving on to the center located one, it's the 19 same essentially here. The applicant 20 proposing an electronic message center in the 21 center, which is circled, to be added to the 22 west facade, which does not comply with the 23 above referenced Zoning text. And finally, 24 the right located one above the right garage.

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

The applicant is proposing an electronic 1 2 message center on the right side, which is 3 circled, to be added to the west facade, and 4 it does not comply with the above referenced 5 Zoning text. 6 There is on the next page again 7 informational. It gives you a general idea of 8 what these signs may look like when they're 9 rendering their graphics. 10 Exhibit 9 is a summary of actually 11 both properties, but we included it because it 12 describes some of the differences between 13 existing signs on site and the area of those, 14 as well as the proposed signs. And the 15 applicant may be going into some more detail 16 on this, if you have any questions. That does it for the Staff Review. 17 18 The next text relates to the 19 Conditional Use Board Analysis and possible 20 Motions. And then after that, the Area 21 Variance Board Analysis and possible Motions. 22 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Would the 23 applicant like to talk with us? 24 MR. ONEY: Yes.

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Is he going to 1 2 need a mic. 3 MS. NEFF: Eric, do we need to 4 share a mic? 5 MR. GAYETSKY: If you wouldn't 6 mind going back and forth so that we can make 7 sure that we can hear. Thank you. 8 MR. ONEY: My name is John Oney. I 9 My address is 49 East have been sworn in. 10 Third Street, Columbus, Ohio. So, thank you 11 for having us tonight. I know you heard the 12 presentation, and thanks for allowing us to 13 speak before we go into the discussion and 14 Motions. But we felt it really important to 15 go over our Comprehensive Plan for both sides 16 of the street, for both the buildings and the 17 signage to kind of unify everything. 18 I would say, first of all, I've 19 been in business 45 years as an architect. 20 I've done a lot of projects in Orange Township 21 and a lot with Tom Carpenter and Nissan North, 22 and it's been a pleasure to see both of you 23 grow in a very first class way. From when I 24 drove up to the north lake area every weekend

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

1	and to see this corridor grow, it's certainly
2	wonderful things, so thank you.
3	So Tom Carpenter is the owner of
4	Nissan North. During his 42 years of
5	ownership, the dealership has grown into the
6	most successful and long-lasting business. I
7	think it's truly an anchor in Southern Orange
8	Township. I also have Steve Bustsko from
9	Branham Sign Company to help prepare this
10	application. And I would like to apologize
11	for the lengthy application, but we felt it
12	necessary to include everything we might
13	discuss tonight.
14	We do feel we have special
15	conditions that exist for the Variance
16	approval. I do think our situation is unique
17	due to our longevity and our current
18	grandfathered signs. We realize that this is
19	a big ask, but we feel that the special
20	conditions exist that warrant your
21	consideration. Most importantly, we feel
22	strongly that we are not creating an unwanted
23	precedent, but rather bringing it to the table
24	and a resolution for all the parties.

U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

1 Just a brief description of Nissan 2 North's history. It's been at this location 3 for over 50 years. It's consistent in one of 4 the top five dealers in the United States 5 central region of the Nissan dealers. And just for Tom personally, he's been the owner 6 7 for 42 years and he's taken I think a little 8 leap of faith in a five-million-dollar 9 expansion to upgrade and make this more 10 friendly for customers to really succeed in 11 this location for the next 50 years. 12 We do have a couple goals, and 13 I'll get -- we do have a few slides. You 14 already have them up, that's good. 15 MR. GAYETSKY: Feel free to direct 16 us. 17 MR. ONEY: Comprehensive goals, 18 number one, the first one is dealing with both 19 the campus and the architecture of the 20 buildings. If you go back to the Site Plan 21 slides, that is our site -- right there. Our 22 Comprehensive Plan is to deal with both sides 23 of the street. And then there was a 24 Mitsubishi dealer for a while after the Saturn

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

stores were -- I don't want to say they threw 1 2 him under the bus, but, you know, he invested a lot and in the end it didn't work. 3 So he 4 tried the Mitsubishi dealerships. That was 5 good for a while. But in the main picture, 6 the aircraft carrier we feel has always been 7 there is the Nissan stores. So, the 8 Comprehensive Plan is to move the Nissan 9 store, the old, dated building from the west 10 side to the east side. And the bones of the 11 Saturn store are very good and we'll renovate 12 that into the new dealership as sort of the 13 center. The west side of the street would 14 become the preowned sales center. There's 15 obviously -- I think the body shop still is on 16 that side as well, but we would be renovating 17 both the buildings for Nissan. So, it's ten 18 acres. It's five acres a side. We actually 19 have a 370 foot frontage on the east side and 20 I think 610 feet of frontage on the west side. 21 A thousand square feet of frontage is what we 22 control. And we really want to clean that up 23 to unify it. 24 The site location is -- if you go

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

to the other slide real quick. And I know we 1 touched on this. Go back, there. The east 2 side has been gobbled up by Columbus. We're 3 still in Orange Township and wish to stay 4 there. We're kind of like an island there on 5 the east side. We've got other constraints 6 7 that, unfortunately, the City of Columbus have 8 invaded our contiguous areas around there.

On the next slide, kind of again 9 it shows your Zoning map. Our sites are 10 really in the southern tip of Orange Township. 11 And I think we've demonstrated we've got a 12 pretty nice anchor there to stay in Orange for 13 last 50 years, and that's our intent to stay 14 there as well. We are, you know, gobbled up 15 by the Metro Park and then to the east is the 16 City of Columbus. 17

18 So back to our plans for the 19 dealership. If we go back to these elevation 20 slides, keep going there. So on the east 21 side, this was the old Saturn store. Our 22 plans are to get rid of the 20 by 50 foot they 23 call it a porte-cochere. We don't need it. Some 24 guy had a strange idea that it would nice to

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

walk underneath it. It's really kind of an 1 entry piece that I don't know what good it 2 We're going to get rid of that and get does. 3 rid of the, to the left there the 20 by 20 4 delivery module and create a new showroom 5 extension that will be about 30 feet and get 6 7 rid of really the east, the old glass, the chairs and all of that stuff out front. And if 8 you go to the next slide, we'll kind of see 9 what that new version is. It's really just a 10 very clean, simple look, a nice showroom glass 11 with the volume they view increasing. It's 200 12 feet back, so we really do need, from the 13 right-of-way, so we do need a presence there 14 15 of that nice showroom glass. Where you see the red italic is where it will direct you to 16 the entry. You can barely see the service to 17 the right, which again is why we -- that's a 18 little more than 200 feet back, which is why 19 we really need to direct those people into the 20 21 site. 22 So on the next side, this is the current dealership on the west side of the 23 street. I think there's nine building signs, 24

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

seven on this building and two on the existing 1 preowned building. We're reducing that to 2 3 There's three pylon signs. We see the two. one there that's been there for a long time, 4 5 and there's two more, but the new elevation is 6 in the building. If you can go to the next 7 slide, there's the old, kind of cluttered, 8 three different appearances of the sign. No 9 really huge, dramatic entry piece that you see 10 on the right, but just a very clean-stated 11 thing. The architecture, the materials and 12 the sign is all meant to be consistent with 13 the new dealership to be silver and that it is 14 unified.

15 So, getting to our sign plans. We 16 tend to clean the buildings up. And we really 17 think it's important -- can you kindly move to 18 the next screen. Obviously, and you all 19 remember this sign. I'm old enough to 20 remember all them. It was Ryan Motors, then 21 it was Datson, then it was three different 22 versions of Nissan. I think that goes down 23 even more -- well, looks like this one might 24 be on there as well to where it currently is

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

1 today. So, that's there, along with two other 2 signs that are further north on the 3 dealership.

We really feel and promote -- U.S. 4 5 23 is obviously blowing up. It's got, according to ODOT 53,000 daily trips. We got 6 7 five lanes of traffic that's very congested 8 and there's the possibility of 50 to 60 miles 9 an hour. And it's really important for us to 10 -- our challenge is to really early on in that environment to see where you're going. And if 11 12 we had to leave the signs to the west and to 13 the east, people are going to turn the wrong 14 way and then they're going to cross five lines of traffic. And a concern of ours is to 15 16 totally avoid that. So we're offering to 17 really remove all of the signs, all three, 18 especially 51 and make a lower sign. We also 19 feel it's important on the east side to make 20 the sign a little more prominent because that's the main leadership. 21 22 And I'm -- we drive that so often 23 that it is -- there is a need to identify it earlier. Our building is 200 feet back. 24 The

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

building signs aren't really -- they're not 1 going to see Nissan on that pylon sign. This 2 is what we were proposing. It is taller than 3 existing 17 foot sign that's there. But our 4 proposal is to make this 30. If you look at 5 the night picture as well, that top piece is 6 illuminated. You know, all of the signs that 7 8 you saw, most of these are very big letters, 9 very big logos. This little letter is just very understated. It's kind of like the Coke can --10 it's very subtle, but it's very effective. 11 So, that's the signs on both sides of the street, 12 simplified, look small, letters are smaller than 13 those. 14

So, to look at really what we 15 have, we've done four street view signs. This 16 is looking northbound, where you see on the 17 left the pylon sign. There's two other signs 18 further north. You can see the preowned 19 building, the proposed preowned building, but 20 really the main dealership is lost. It's not 21 22 there. All you have is the pylon sign there. If you go to the next slide, this again just 23 24 shows you that I guess the cluttered look at

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

that existing building where there's signs on 1 2 both sides of the building, plus the front. Ι 3 think there's seven signs on that building and 4 we're going down to two. And then that pylon 5 sign again that will be -- one more slide. 6 Those two signs will be gone totally. Again, 7 it will go from three to one pylon sign on 8 this building.

9 The last slide I got is really 10 just this is really more of a concerning for 11 us heading south, southbound. You see the pet 12 sign that's in the City and that's 100 and, I 13 think, 68 foot square feet. It's 25 foot 14 tall. We see the mature trees that we have 15 both along the north and south. It's really difficult leaving 17 foot pylon sign to 16 17 identify the main dealership because you can't 18 see it. So, heading there our concern is to 19 get rid of the big sign on the west that you 20 see sticking up in the air and make that a 21 sign and have a little higher sign on the east 22 to make sure that's identified as the main 23 dealership. 24 So, that's kind of the

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

comprehensive plan of how we want to make this 1 2 for the next 50 years. I'd just like to say 3 our firm has got auto dealerships almost 95% in our region. It's kind of what we've done 4 5 for 45 years. And Tom, we've always had a lot 6 of projects with you. And I'd just like to 7 say that all auto dealerships and dealers I've 8 worked with, he's one of the most trustworthy, 9 respected people I've dealt with, so it's fun 10 to work with him. So Tom is here tonight. 11 He's coming from Kentucky tonight. And he'd 12 like to say a few words or comments before we 13 get into the discussion. I'm sorry that it 14 got so lengthy, but I felt I needed to give 15 you a comprehensive view. Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Thank you. 17 MR. CARPENTER: My name is Tom 18 Carpenter and my address is 8600 North High 19 Street. And I affirm that I have been sworn 20 in. 21 I'm the original owner of Nissan 22 We purchased the dealership in 1983. North. 23 And I'm proud to say that I've been part of 24 the Orange Township business community now for

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

1 42 years. As John said, we know this is a big 2 ask for this Board to approve. I believe the 3 circumstances in our case are unique and your 4 approval will not set an unwarranted 5 precedent.

6 Here are my reasons. Number one, 7 our business has been operating at this 8 location for over 50 years. Our existing, 9 freestanding signs have been grandfathered 10 over time, and therefore, are legally 11 permitted. Number two, during my ownership, 12 our business has expanded to both sides of 13 Route 23 and we now encompass a total of 15 14 acres, because we have the property to the 15 south of the Nissan dealership on the west 16 side of the street as well. Number three, during this time, the City of Columbus has 17 18 encroached upon Delaware County to the point 19 that we are almost surrounded by the City on 20 our east side. We're virtually -- our parcel 21 on the east side is surrounded on three sides 22 and up to this point, the annexation into the 23 City of Columbus has been stopped by Route 23 24 to the west. And it is our intention -- we do

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

not want to leave Orange Township. Number 1 2 four, our business pays a substantial amount 3 of real estate taxes every year. In fact, in 2023 we paid over \$215,000 to Delaware County 4 5 for our 15 acres of land to build. And this expansion will actually make that amount much 6 7 higher in the future. Number five, we need 8 these signs to identify our business and 9 direct our customers clearly and safely. And 10 this plan, we feel, will actually result --11 accomplish that goal and result in fewer signs 12 and be much more visually attractive. And 13 finally, we want this five-million-dollar 14 expansion to be the standard for the next 50 15 years and to anchor us firmly in the Orange 16 Township's future growth. 17 I thank you very much for your 18 consideration. And again, John did apologize 19 it was so lengthy, but it really had to be to 20 do it all in one package. And I encourage you 21 to ask any questions for either of us, and 22 we'll try to address any of the concerns that

MR. ONEY: One last thing I wanted

you may have. Thank you very much.

23

24

U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

to add. Eric, if you would put that on the 1 2 So, we did a sign comparison of what screen. 3 we have. Pylon number one you see there is 4 the existing pylon signs of what heights are 5 existing, what areas are existing. And we did 6 proposed signs of what heights are existing, 7 what areas are existing. You will see on your 8 sheet under the Nissan preowned proposed sign, 9 it should say 30 pylon, not 25. 10 So, Item 3 really gives us a 11 comparison of what we have now and what we'd 12 like to propose at the end of our 13 Comprehensive Plan. The number of signs would 14 be reduced 50%. The maximum sign height will 15 be decreased 42%. The combined sign height of 16 all the signs will be decreased 48%. And the 17 maximum sign area will be decreased 34%, and 18 the combined sign area would be reduced 49%. 19 In addition to that, we wrote off 20 three square feet of total property signs for 21 each linear feet that we have. On the east 22 side we have a variance of 1,100 square feet 23 allowed. We're proposing 372 total of all 24 exterior signs with a 67% reduction, it was

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

allowed, I think. On the west side, we have 1 2 610 linear feet. So that gives us 1,830 3 square feet of allowable total signs. We're proposing a 92% reduction on the Nissan 4 5 building. There is a Crash Champion sign there, I think it's about 150 square feet. 6 7 So, we made an 84% reduction in what's 8 allowed. 9 So both pylon signs and building 10 signs, from what we got today to what we're 11 asking, we do need variances to achieve this, 12 and I think we've tried to give you reasons 13 that we feel appropriate, but it's a significant reduction and it does meet our 14 15 needs. Thank you. We'll answer any 16 questions, Tom and I. 17 MS. NEFF: I have a couple 18 questions, but one is kind of a side question. 19 It's not really related directly to my 20 decision. But, I love that you have the 21 50-year-plan with the campus in mind. Do you 22 foresee -- I believe I might be wrong, but I 23 thought I heard Nissan Honda have some stuff 24 going on. Do you foresee any changes over the

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

34 next couple of years and needs for signage or 1 adjustments to that as a result of those 2 relationship changes? 3 MR. CARPENTER: Actually, we hope 4 that does go through because that will help 5 both manufacturers in a lot of ways, 6 but they've made it -- the point clear, and 7 this would take probably three years before it 8 would be even finalized, but they --9 especially in the United States, which is 10 their primary market, they're both worldwide 11 companies, but they will not do anything to 12 disrupt the current dealer network. 13 Especially Honda is so strong in this market 14 with the manufacturing plant, and that is part 15 of the agreement that they will still -- what 16 they'll utilize is their research development 17 and share some certain things amongst them, 18 but the brands will be left intact, as we 19 understand it. 20 MS. NEFF: So no additional changes 21 on the horizon. That's helpful. 22 Thank you. 2.3 24 MR. CARPENTER: No ma'am.

		3
1	MS. NEFF: And then my other	
2	question was, looking at Exhibit 2, this sign.	
3	I agree I travel that road every day, agree	
4	with the need for some signage, especially	
5	when you're going south to see that, but it	
6	looks really bright with that red. We have a	
7	new business up north off of 23 with some red	
8	lights in it. It's very jarring. I'm just	
9	curious of your thoughts on that. Because that's	
10	illuminated at night, right?	
11	MR. ONEY: Yeah, but I'm not sure	
12	about operation and hours and time clocks, but	
13	hours of operation, when they're not open, I'm	
14	not sure if those could be dimmed or not.	
15	MS. NEFF: Okay.	
16	MR. ONEY: I know they certainly	
17	would want them on in their hours of	
18	operation. Again, only the is bright is	
19	the top portion that's illuminated. It's not	
20	all the way up the ground when you see that,	
21	the whole pole, I guess.	
22	MR. PAX: One clarification I	
23	wanted to make on that question. The west	
24	side property, the two signs that were there	

U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

for the used lots, those will be removed; is 1 2 that correct? 3 MR. ONEY: Yes, that's correct. MR. PAX: And then the one large 4 5 Is there any monument signs that monumental. are going be installed on that west side? 6 7 MR. ONEY: It would be one monument sign. Where the 51-foot tall sign is 8 now, directly where the preowned -- new 9 preowned dealership will be, it will be in 10 front of the preowned, so that will be how we 11 identify the preowned. It will be the one. 12 MR. PAX: I think I missed that 13 one on -- I'm looking through the graphics and 14 I'm just trying to determine. 15 MR. GAYETSKY: Understood. And 16 that particular will be discussed in the 17 second case. I did want to make a 18 clarification that that's on the other parcel. 19 You haven't heard that Staff Report yet, so 20 21 that will be discussed here with the next 22 case. MR. PAX: Frankly, that just helps 23 24 me in my disposition of this case and

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

consideration of the building moved back what 1 2 the existing conditions are on the west, 3 impact at least my interpretation on this. So it's kind of I'm evaluating my response to 4 5 this application based upon -- there's a bit of a predicate there that I'm -- that 6 7 influences my -- at least the experience of 8 going down 23 with the signs that are being 9 moved and what's being proposed in relation to 10 this 30-foot monument sign. 11 MS. NEFF: Are we allowed to hear 12 the second one or do we need to make a 13 decision on the first one before we hear the 14 second one? We always ask you the tricky 15 questions, Eric. 16 Yeah, that MR. GAYETSKY: Sure. 17 one is not an everyday -- this is not an 18 everyday situation, of course, with two 19 separate parcels, but similar campus -- or the 20 same campus rather. We will need to make 21 decisions on the variances, but the 22 possibility for the applicant to request 23 continuances on any of the variances that's 24 there, that would be up to them to decide if

37

38 they wanted to request those continuances, if 1 they felt like you as a Board were not willing 2 to move forward with any of the approvals. So 3 ultimately, that's up to them to request those 4 continuances. But since the order of the 5 cases is set, I don't think we would have the 6 ability to go back in time and start talking 7 8 about the second case before we decided on 9 those variances before you. MS. NEFF: So, are you saying we 10 could continue the first case til we hear the 11 second case and then decide on both? Or was 12 that what you were implying? 13 MR. GAYETSKY: No. The 14 continuance takes place to a future meeting. 15 16 MS. NEFF: Okay. MR. GAYETSKY: We wanted to just 17 hold that thought. We do have the benefit of 18 having Julie Donnan amongst us. If Julie, you 19 wanted to add anything to what I just said, 20 21 please feel free. 22 MS. DONNAN: Good evening, 23 everyone. I'm Julie Donnan. I'm with Brosius, Johnson and Griggs. I'm legal 24

counsel for the Township. I'm here for the 1 2 training, but walked in and this seems like a 3 possible question. Just so I'm up to speed, 4 it sounds like you have two cases before you, 5 same applicant? 6 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 7 MS. DONNAN: But different variances. 8 9 MS. NEFF: Different sides of the 10 road. 11 MS. DONNAN: Different sides of 12 the road. Okay. So it is not uncommon, and 13 quite frankly it is in the case of efficiency 14 to basically hear -- you can hear both almost 15 at the same time. It sounds like you may have 16 some questions related, you know, they can be intertwined. You would have to render 17 18 separate decisions. But if the -- it sounds 19 like the applicant has kind of made their way 20 through the first application, if you want 21 them to move through the second application, 22 that would be fine. And then the Board can 23 then make decisions separately on both of 24 those, so I hope that's helpful. Okay.

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

40 MR. CARPENTER: I don't know if this 1 is appropriate. We would be willing to, if 2 discussions are mainly on the pylon signs, 3 would you be willing to maybe table them and 4 make Motions on the other things and come back 5 to that after those decisions, or if that 6 7 would help people. We would like to hopefully 8 gain approval tonight and not do continuations. We're underway, bright and 9 early is the time to do this. So, we do 10 everything we can that's within your rules and 11 procedure that we've discovered. 12 MS. NEFF: Yeah, I think we just 13 want to hear the second. 14 MS. ROSS: Julie, we could just 15 hear the second Staff Report at this time? 16 17 MS. DONNAN: At this time, yes. 18 MS. NEFF: Yeah. Okay. 19 MR. MCCOY: Can I ask a 20 definitional question of the gentlemen here 21 Sir, when you talk about your sign first. 22 comparison, that chart that you have, that 23 sign comparison chart, if I'm not incorrect here, is the same in both variance 24

applications, correct? It's covering both 1 2 properties on both sides of the --3 MR. CARPENTER: Yes. I totaled 4 both the east and the west both existing and 5 proposed. MR. MCCOY: Okay. And when you 6 7 refer to a monument sign, you're talking about 8 the block monument monolithic, sort of what I 9 see. 10 MR. CARPENTER: Yes. 11 MR. MCCOY: And a pylon sign is a 12 pole or no? 13 MR. CARPENTER: Sorry. it's a 14 monument -- what I call pylons are those. 15 MR. MCCOY: Okay. 16 MR. CARPENTER: Yes. The two that 17 are proposed, there's only two, they move up 18 -- that design that Stacey just held up. One 19 is 30 feet and one is 25 foot. 20 MR. MCCOY: But they look exactly 21 the same thing? 22 MR. CARPENTER: Yes. Correct. 23 MR. MCCOY: Okay. Except one 24 probably says preowned?

41

42 MR. CARPENTER: Yes. 1 2 MCCOY: Okay. 3 MS. ROSS: Does it actually say preowned? I think they're exactly the same. 4 5 MS. NEFF: I think they're the 6 same, just smaller, right? 7 MR. MCCOY: Same but smaller. 8 Okay. And then on the one we're talking 9 about, we're on the east side of 23, the old 10 Saturn, Mitsubishi dealership, the Mitsubishi 11 sign is a sign shaped similar to these, but 12 smaller? 13 MR. CARPENTER: Yes, less sign. 14 I think it's 17 feet 10 inches, if I recall 15 correctly. 16 MR. MCCOY: Okay. And then the 17 Nissan signs on the west side are pole signs. 18 MR. CARPENTER: Yes, three pole 19 signs. 20 MR. MCCOY: Okay. I'm very visual, but I appreciate your presentation 21 22 being very visual for me to understand what's 23 going on. Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I have a question

just for the audience, is there anybody else 1 2 that wishes to talk on this topic? Okay. 3 Then we'll go ahead and proceed with the Staff 4 Report on that -- because there are some 5 similarities. MR. GAYETSKY: We have the 6 7 clarification that you can do the variances 8 one piece so it's quite useful. And I will 9 take it from the top of the second Staff 10 Report. 11 So, this description, this is for 12 the West parcel, which is located at 10140 13 Columbus Pike. This lot size approximately 14 5.57 acres, zoning Planned Commercial Office 15 District. For the description, seeking two area variances from the Orange Township Zoning 16 17 Resolution to allow for two wall signs above 18 the allowed 15 feet zero inches, and one 19 Conditional Use to allow for a freestanding 20 sign, and also three area variances to allow 21 for a freestanding sign to exceed the maximum 22 allowed height and display area. The subject 23 property is owned by Nissan North. Ιt 24 includes multiple commercial buildings for new

43

car sales currently, as well as an auto body
 repair and used car sales.

3 The surrounding area, we'll go 4 through this quickly. Looking to the north, 5 the zoning district is Farm Residential District. The plan use is Single Family 6 7 Residences, and I think that should be 8 singular. That's a single family residence on 9 a large parcel. Direction to the south, the 10 zoning is open space and park land owned by 11 Metro Parks, as well as Planned Commercial and 12 Office District even further to the south. 13 This land use includes, like I mentioned, 14 Columbus - Franklin County Metro Parks land, Commercial Use. To the east of the zoning 15 16 district is Planned Commercial Office District, that is car dealership land, as was 17 18 described. It's undergoing those site changes 19 and improvements, and had that exterior 20 modifications permit granted in December. And 21 to west is the Planned Commercial Office 22 District with various commercial uses there. 23 So the aerial view, switching our attention slightly to west. On the west side 24

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

of U.S. 23 now the site, as I had described 1 it, several buildings, including Auto Body 2 Repair to the north, the existing new car 3 dealer on the south side, with 10140. And 4 that smaller building is, I believe, for used 5 car sales. Go to the zoomed-out view, there's 6 that parcel highlighted for your 7 clarification. Okay. 8

Just like the last Staff Report, 9 we'll start with the Conditional Use Section 10 and move along from there. Just like before 11 I'll come to Section 3, Subsection a, the sign 12 is a monument style freestanding sign. The 13 applicant is proposing a monument style 14 freestanding sign as shown in Exhibit 1 below. 15 For b, the maximum height of such 16 sign does not exceed 8 feet above the average 17 grade of the site, and the sign is located at 18 the distance from any street right-of-way 19 line, as required. According to Exhibit 1 and 20 2, the proposed sign will be 25 feet zero inches 21 above grade. The sign is proposed to 22 be set back 37 feet and 1 inch from the 23 property line adjoining the right-of-way of 24

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

U.S. 23. The sign exceeds the required height 1 2 by 17 feet and zero inches. And actually I 3 should quantify, it's by 17 feet and 1 inch. 4 No, I do need to make a correction. I think I 5 was referring to the setback. So, it should be, it exceeds the required height by 10 feet 6 7 and zero inches. I do apologize, but that's a 8 25 foot height -- yes, 25 feet proposed height. I came full circle with that. 9 The 10 maximum thing that they are allowed is 8 feet, 11 so I did get it correct. It's 17 feet and 12 zero inches that they are requesting the 13 variance for. And I apologize, but that 17 14 feet and zero inches is correct. 15 The sign, for Section c, the sign 16 does not have more than two sides or surfaces. 17 The sign, as proposed, will have two sides as 18 shown. For Section d, the display area of any 19 one side or surface does not exceed one half 20 of the total display area permitted. The single sign face total is approximately 69 21

22 square feet, when only 64 square feet per face23 is permitted. That is a variance request

24 right there, just a slight variance request of

U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

1 5 square feet.

-	
2	This is a little bit larger text
3	like you saw last report, but to summarize,
4	the maximum permitted area for both sides of
5	the sign is 128 square feet. The proposed
6	two-sided sign will approximately total 138
7	square feet. That's for both sides. The
8	proposed sign will be set back 37 feet and 1
9	inch from the right-of-way line of U.S. 23, so
10	128 square feet total is permitted. The sign
11	does not meet the standard and a variance is
12	requested.
13	Subsection g, no part of such sign
14	will be closer to any street right-of-way line
15	than 15 feet, nor closer to any other property
16	line than the applicable building setback
17	line, if the adjoining property is in a
18	Residential District. The proposed sign will
19	be setback 37 feet and 1 inch. So that meets
20	the standard.
21	That is all of the responses for
22	the Conditional Use Section. Like we did for
23	in the last Staff Report we ran through and
24	took measurements specific to each of these

U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

requests. I wanted to demonstrate -- it might 1 be a little bit difficult based on the ink, 2 3 but that shows, if you look closely, the 37 feet and 1 inch setback from the edge of the 4 5 right-of-way to the first monument sign. This is informational in Exhibit 2 from the 6 7 Applicant's materials, which we had previously 8 discussed, but I wanted to ensure that you 9 could reference the existing sign next to the 10 proposed sign. 11 Exhibit 3, correction -- well, 12 moving to the Variance Request for the 13 monument sign, for the sign height the 14 variance from Section 22.04(a)(3)(b) is to 15 remind you of the maximum height of monument 16 sign in the Township is 8 feet above finished 17 grade, and the sign is looking at the distance 18 away from any street right-of-way line, as 19 required. The proposed sign would have a 20 height of 25 feet zero inches, which exceeds 21 the requirement by 17 feet zero inches. This 22 is roughly 260% variance request for 23 22.04(a)(3)(b). 24 The next variance is the Sign

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

Display Area. The variance being specifically 1 2 22.04(a)(3)(d). The display area of any one side or surface does not exceed one-half of 3 4 the total display area permitted. The single 5 sign face totals approximately 69 square feet, 6 when only 64 square feet per face is 7 permitted. This is a roughly 107% variance 8 request from Section 22.04(a)(d).

9 The Sign Display Area, the total 10 display area of all surfaces shall not exceed 11 32 square feet, or a maximum of 16 square feet 12 per side or surface when the sign is located 13 15 feet from the primary frontage street 14 right-of-way line. For each additional input 15 setback from the street right-of-way line, an 16 additional eight square feet of total display area, or maximum of 4 square feet per side or 17 18 surface, will be permitted up to a maximum of 19 128 square feet of total display area, or a 20 maximum of 64 square feet per side or surface, 21 as permitted. The proposed two-sided sign 22 will total approximately 138 square feet. The 23 sign will be setback 37 feet and 1 inch from 24 the right-of-way line along U.S. 23, so only

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

64 square feet per side, as permitted. This
 2 is roughly 107% variance request from
 3 22.04(a)(d).

And we're on to the final two 4 5 requests, which is for the new wall signs. So for proposed wall sign, Wall Sign A with the 6 7 Nissan lettering, and the Bluebeam 8 measurement, that was 18 feet and zero inches. 9 Just to summarize, the Applicant is proposing 10 Wall Sign A be placed at 18 feet and zero 11 inches above grade. A variance of 3 feet zero 12 inches is being requested, which represents a 13 20% variance request from Orange Township 14 Zoning Resolution Section 22.

15 And moving on, the next sign would 16 be Wall Sign B Certified Preowned lettering. 17 And our Bluebeam measurement that was 17 feet 18 and 1 inch. The Applicant is proposing Wall 19 Sign A to be placed at a height of 17 feet and 20 1 inches. A variance of 2 feet and 1 inches 21 is being requested. This represents a roughly 22 14% variance request for Orange Township 23 Zoning Resolution Section 22. This diagram 24 here is for comparison or to see the entire

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

1 proposed front facade.

2 Exhibit 6, we've seen this Sign 3 Summary Table, and the Applicant had touched on that, of course. 4 That is the extent of the Staff 5 Report. 6 So you can refer to the Board 7 Analysis and example Motions and the pages 8 that follow. 9 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Thank you. 10 MS. ROSS: I have several 11 questions. Well, first of all, I want to say 12 thank you for updating these buildings. Ι 13 think they look lovely. I think the fact that 14 they look like each other will be helpful to 15 people who go through this corridor and use your services. And I just appreciate that. 16 17 We want you to stay in Orange Township. We 18 want you here. 19 As far as the very tall monument 20 signs go, when I look at -- you had an Exhibit 21 where you showed Pet Palace, or whatever it 22 is, and then looked southbound on 23, Columbus 23 Pike, and you can't see your sign down there. 24 Part of that, in my way of thinking, is it's

what it's white or silver and it blends in 1 2 with everything. It's still tall. It's still 3 wide, but it's not noticeable. I think the 4 red of your logo helps that immediately. It's 5 also so far back from the road. It's in the parking lot -- both sides it's in the parking 6 7 lot of your businesses. And I think that's 8 kind of confusing as a -- as just looking at 9 all of these different examples. When you're 10 looking for something along the road, you're 11 usually looking for a monument sign along the 12 road, not within the parking lot. I'm just 13 assuming that you're placing it in exactly the 14 same place because your electric is there and 15 because that's where you want the sign. So 16 that's my one question is that, is that why 17 you want it placed in exactly the same place. 18 They're rather wide signs at the 19 base, wide enough for a car to hide behind. 20 And so I was wondering if you'd consider the 21 safety of having such a wide sign in your 22 parking lot. 23 And, you said that they're exactly the same sign on each side of the street, only 24

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

one is taller than the other. And my question 1 2 is, in your application you said that you want 3 it so that it's very clear to people which 4 side of the road is your new sales and which 5 side is your preowned sales. And there is 6 nothing on these signs that helps me as a 7 consumer when I'm driving down the street to 8 know which side I want to go to. And so I was 9 wondering if that was something that you had 10 considered. Because that is -- if you're on 11 one side of the road and you need to be on the 12 other side of the road to get to the -- if 13 you're on the used side and you need to get to 14 the new side, you're taking your life in your 15 hands. And I know you don't, according to 16 your application, it doesn't sound like you 17 want that problem. 18 MR. CARPENTER: We couldn't agree 19 As far as the red, I think that will more. 20 make it more visible because it's kind of lost

21 in there. And I think for both sides, they 22 decided it would identify that easier. The 23 reason we placed the east sign where the 24 current monument sign is, is because it is

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

back far enough, 48 feet, where cars can pull 1 up into the side triangle. It's open and exit 2 and they don't have a car blocking -- or the 3 sign doesn't block what that view would be 4 because that traffic is moving fast. So it's 5 48 feet and 8 inches back. So although you 6 7 don't see as good, we think with the height and 8 the red at that location is going to be -- that distance back it leaves for safe exit because 9 the side triangle will be able to identify it 10 as one. We want to identify -- I think we 11 don't know this with Nissan that we want to 12 pursue it. We have the red triangle or the 13 There's the first blade below that I rectangle. 14 think they'd allow us to do preowned on the 15 preowned sign. And we don't have an answer from 16 them, but that would be our preference because 17 then you do have -- you can't see the 18 buildings. You're moving too fast. And having 19 a little higher sign, more profit on the east, 20 21 and if we could add that little preowned strip 22 to the -- the one on the west, I think that would be our ultimate goal. I just don't know 23 24 that we can do it yet

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

because we don't have -- you know, these sign 1 people they, you know, buy their sign, they 2 3 pay it for your lifetime. So, but if that could be a condition, maybe that would help us 4 5 to get them to say let's do that. MS. ROSS: And the same on the 6 7 west side of the street, you're placing in the 8 same place because that's where your utilities 9 are and --10 MR. CARPENTER: Well, both sides, 11 yes. That's another point. That's where the 12 current conduits are running to. We could 13 move it, if that's the discussion. I just 14 think it's a good place because we can't move 15 closer to the street. And if you move back 16 too far, we get the -- you get your transports 17 coming in there and the whole wrap around 18 here. 19 MS. ROSS: I know that's a problem there for you guys, anyway. 20 21 MR. CARPENTER: But there it --22 that road is very wide because you can have 23 three lanes there, one the way to turn and one is left. As you exit it's got two lanes, 24

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

1 which is good, which helps.

2 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: How did you 3 determine the height of each pylon on the west and east? 4 5 MR. CARPENTER: Well, number one, 6 they'd have to -- we still want one higher 7 than the other. I think that's important. 8 And just from site lines, as you drive down 9 there, on both sides, mostly on the east side 10 of Columbus, there's a lot of different signs. 11 We've got mature trees on both sides and with 12 trucks and everything in the height it does 13 get lost in the shuffle, if there's semis 14 coming this way and you're out here. So the 15 higher sign we felt got a little bit above the 16 mess, but also it got rid of the thing that's 17 been there for 50 years. So we felt 30 to 25 18 was a good compromise to make what we feel 19 safe in and by dealerships to make a 50% 20 reduction in these sign heights. 21 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Okay. Thank you. 22 Nick, anything? 23 MR. MCCOY: No. 24 MS. NEFF: Do you have any more

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

1 questions? 2 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Think I'm good. 3 Okay. 4 MS. NEFF: Do we have to go back 5 to the original one? CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yeah, we have to 6 7 go back to the original one. 8 MS. ROSS: Is the Board interested 9 in private deliberations at all? 10 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I was just going 11 to move that myself. 12 MS. ROSS: Okay. I move that we 13 move into private deliberations. 14 MR. PAX: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Made by Ms. Ross, seconded by Mr. Pax. Those voting: 16 17 Mr. Trefz. 18 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 19 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms Ross. 20 MS. ROSS: Yes. 21 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 22 MR. PAX: Yes. 23 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 24 MS. NEFF: Yes.

57

1 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. McCoy. MR. MCCOY: Yes. 2 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Move into private deliberations. 4 5 (Board entered into private deliberations.) 6 7 MS. ROSS: I'll move that we 8 9 return to public session. 10 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll second. 11 12 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. 13 Ross, seconded by Mr. Trefz. Those voting: Mr. Trefz. 14 15 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 16 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 17 MS. ROSS: Yes. 18 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 19 MR. PAX: Yes. 20 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 21 MS. NEFF: Yes. 22 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. McCoy. 23 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 24 MS. ROSS: I just would like to

58

say, we do believe that this is a unique 1 2 application with unique problems and 3 solutions, and I believe that the decision we 4 make will show you how we considered that, how we've taken that into consideration. 5 Typically high numbers like this in variance 6 7 percentage could be outside of something 8 acceptable, but we try to look at all of the 9 uniqueness of this site. 10 So, based on the testimony this 11 evening and the facts that we consider, I move 12 to approve Case No. VA-CU-2436 for the 13 property located at 8600 North High Street, Lewis Center, Ohio, seeking a Conditional Use 14 15 from Orange Township Zoning Resolution Article 22.04(a) to offer the construction of a 16 17 monument sign in an area zoned Planned 18 Commercial and Office District, with the 19 condition, if possible, that they add the 20 street number to the sign for fire 21 identification. 22 MR. GAYETSKY: I think as far as 23 address numbers go, usually that's something 24 that's dictated by the Fire Department. There

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

is, I believe I mention in the Zoning Code, 1 2 that that be displayed somewhere visibly. 3 MS. ROSS: If I may explain. 4 MR. GAYETSKY: Sure. 5 MS. ROSS: Since there are two businesses with similar looking buildings, one 6 7 on each side of the street, the similar 8 looking signs, when you consider that the 9 Columbus Fire Department, which is on Lazelle 10 Road, could run mutual aid on a large 11 commercial building, how do they know which 12 building to go to on a building that's 200 13 feet from the street, if there isn't a street 14 number out in front? 15 MR. GAYETSKY: That would be a new 16 condition for me, but it's up to the Board to 17 ultimately decide if they wanted to apply the 18 condition. So, you know, if that's the 19 Motion, if there's a second. 20 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll second. 21 MR. GAYETSKY: Okay. So the 22 Motion was made by Ms. Ross, seconded by Mr. 23 Trefz. Those voting -- and then that's subject to the condition, as described by Ms. 24

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

Ross, to add the address named plate to the 1 2 sign. 3 MS. ROSS: And I did say "if possible," because if Nissan tells you exactly 4 5 what your sign has to say and they would not allow it, we can't legislate them to put it. 6 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Sure. That's described if that's a possible addition for 8 9 the address on the sign itself. So as Ms. 10 Ross making the Motion, seconded by Mr. Trefz. 11 Those voting: 12 Mr. Trefz. 13 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 14 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 15 MS. ROSS: Yes. MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 16 17 MS. NEFF: Yes. 18 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 19 MR. PAX: Yes. 20 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. McCoy. 21 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 22 MR. GAYETSKY: And that Motion 23 carries. 24 MS. ROSS: Okay. The next

61

variance, based on the testimony received this 1 2 evening as factors we consider, I move to 3 approve Case No. VA-CU-24-36 for the property located at 8600 North High Street, Lewis 4 5 Center, Ohio, an Area Variance for a monument sign from the Orange Township Zoning 6 7 Resolution Section 2204(a)(3)(b), to increase 8 the permitted height of the monument sign from 9 15 feet to 30 feet in an area zoned Planned 10 Commercial and Office District. 11 MR. PAX: Second. 12 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. 13 Ross, seconded by Mr. Pax. Those voting: 14 Mr. Trefz. 15 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 16 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 17 MS. ROSS: Yes. 18 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 19 MR. PAX: Yes. 20 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 21 MS. NEFF: Yes. 22 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. McCoy. 23 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 24 MR. GAYETSKY: That Motion

62

1 carries. 2 MS. NEFF: Based on these factors 3 _ _ 4 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: We've got to do 2 5 Monument sign. 6 MS. NEFF: Oh, I'm sorry. Ι 7 thought we did No. 2. 8 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: No. 9 MS. NEFF: Oh, my goodness. I got 10 it. 11 Based on these factors, I move to 12 approve Case Number VA-CU-24-36 for the 13 property located at 8600 North High Street, Lewis Center, Ohio, area variance for monument 14 sign from the Orange Township Zoning 15 Resolution Section 22.04(a)(3)(d) to increase 16 17 the permitted display area the monument sign per side from 64 feet to 99.4 feet in an area 18 19 zoned Planned Commercial and Office District. 20 MS. ROSS: I'll second. 21 MR. GAYETSKY: Just to be 100 22 percent clear, from 64 square feet to 99.4 23 square feet, so; is that correct, Ms. Neff? 24 MS. NEFF: Yes.

63

64 1 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: 64 to 99, yes. 2 MS. NEFF: There wasn't a second 3 square feet. 4 MR. GAYETSKY: Yeah, that's 5 correct. MS. NEFF: Yeah. 6 7 MR. GAYETSKY: So, that was a Motion made by Ms. Neff, seconded by Ms. Ross 8 9 those voting: 10 Mr. Trefz. 11 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 12 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 13 MS. ROSS: Yes. 14 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 15 MR. PAX: Yes. 16 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 17 MS. NEFF: Yes. 18 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 19 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 20 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. 21 Alright. I do have -- let's see. 22 Okay, this is No. 3, just want to keep 23 everyone on the same page. 24 MS. ROSS: Based on our testimony

received and the factors we consider, I move 1 to approve Case No. VA-CU-24-36 for the 2 property located at 8600 North High Street, 3 Lewis Center, Ohio, Area Variance for a 4 5 monument sign from the Orange Township Zoning Resolution Section 2204(a)(3)(e), to increase 6 7 the permitted display to sign from 128 feet to 8 198.8 square feet in an area zoned Planned 9 Commercial and Office District. I'm sorry, it 10 should be 128 square feet. 11 MR. GAYETSKY: Yep. 12 MS. NEFF: Second. 13 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. 14 Ross, seconded by Ms. Neff. Those voting: 15 Mr. Trefz. 16 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 17 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 18 MS. ROSS: Yes. 19 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 20 MR. PAX: Yes. 21 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 22 MS. NEFF: Yes. 23 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 24 MR. MCCOY: Yes.

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. 1 2 Alright. For No. 4, Area Variance 3 request for Wall Street A for the height, I 4 did happen to see that this text appears to 5 have gotten crossed up. The 8 feet should be 6 changed to 15 feet because that's the sign 7 height allowed. And then the 30 feet should 8 be the measurement of 23 feet and 5 inches. 9 So, again, 8 feet to 15 feet and then that 30 10 feet should stay 23 feet and 5 inches. 11 MS. ROSS: That's No. 4? 12 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: That's No. 4. 13 MR. GAYETSKY: You got it, yep. CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Go ahead. 14 15 MS. NEFF: Based on the factors we discussed this evening, I moved to approve 16 Case No. VA-CU-24-36 for the property located 17 at 8600 North High Street, Lewis Center, Ohio 18 area variance for a monument sign from the 19 Orange Township Zoning Resolution Section 20 21 22.03(c)(1) to increase the permitted height of 22 the sign identified as Wall Sign A in this report from 15 feet to 23 feet 5 inches in an 23 area zoned Planned Commercial and Office 24

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

67 District. 1 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll second. 2 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. Neff, seconded by Mr. Trefz. Those voting: 4 5 Mr. Trefz. CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 6 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. MS. ROSS: Yes. 8 9 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 10 MR. PAX: Yes. 11 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 12 MS. NEFF: Yes. 13 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. MR. MCCOY: Yes. 14 15 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. 16 MS. ROSS: Any changes to No. 5? 17 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I don't think so. 18 MR. GAYETSKY: They are consistent 19 with the Staff Report earlier with the Staff 20 Analysis. 21 MS. ROSS: Okay. Based on the 22 testimony received and the factors we 23 consider, I move to approve Case No. 24 VA-CU-24-36 for the property located at 8600

North High Street, Lewis Center, Ohio Area 1 2 Variance for a monument sign from the Orange 3 Township Zoning Resolution Section 22.03(c)(1) 4 to increase the permitted height of the sign 5 identified as Wall Sign B in this report from 15 feet 0 inches to 25 feet 0 inches in an 6 7 area zoned Planned Commercial and Office 8 District. 9 MS. NEFF: Second. 10 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Mr. 11 Ross, seconded by Ms. Neff. Those voting: 12 Mr. Trefz. 13 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 14 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 15 MS. ROSS: Yes. 16 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 17 MR. PAX: Yes. 18 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 19 MS. NEFF: Yes. 20 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 21 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 22 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. 23 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Based on these 24 factors, I move to approve Case No. VA-CU

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

69 24-36 for the property located 8600 North High 1 2 Street, Lewis Center Ohio, an Area Variance 3 for a monument sign from Orange Township Zoning Resolution --4 5 MR. GAYETSKY: I apologize. That 6 monument sign should state wall sign. I'm so 7 sorry. Area Variance for a wall sign. 8 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Wall sign. 9 MR. GAYETSKY: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: That's what I was 11 wondering. Do you want me to start again? 12 MR. GAYETSKY: It's up to you, or 13 you can continue from wall sign. 14 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Wall sign from 15 Orange Township Zoning Resolution Section 16 22.03(c)(1) to increase the permitted height 17 of the sign identified in this report as Wall 18 Sign C from 15 feet 0 inches to 23 feet 5 19 inches in the area zoned Planned Commercial 20 and Office District (PC). That's it. 21 MS. ROSS: I'll second that 22 Motion. MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Mr. 23 24 Trefz, seconded by Ms. Ross. Those voting:

Mr. Trefz. 1 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: 2 Yes. 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. MS. ROSS: 4 Yes. Mr. Pax. 5 MR. GAYETSKY: MR. PAX: 6 Yes. 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. MS. NEFF: Yes. 8 9 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 10 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 11 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. 12 And then same difference. Ιt 13 looks like a typo. It should state wall sign 14 for Wall Sign D. 15 MR. PAX: Based on the factors and 16 the testimony this evening, I move to approve Case Number No. VA-CU-24-36 for the property 17 18 located at 8600 North High Street, Lewis 19 Center, Ohio Area Variance for a wall sign 20 from the Orange Township Zoning Resolution 21 Section 22.03(c)(1) to increase the permitted 22 height of the sign identified in this report 23 as Wall Sign D from 15 foot 0 inches to 22 24 feet 11 inches in an area zoned Planned

70

```
1
    Commercial and Office District (PC).
 2
                MS. NEFF: Second.
                MR. GAYETSKY: So a Motion was
 3
 4
    made by Mr. Pax, seconded by Mr. Neff. Those
 5
    voting:
                Mr. Trefz.
 6
 7
                CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes.
                MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross.
 8
 9
                MS. ROSS: Yes.
10
                MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax.
11
                MR. PAX: Yes.
12
                MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff.
13
                MS. NEFF: Yes.
14
                MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy.
15
                MR. MCCOY: Yes.
16
                MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries.
17
                We'll move onto the Electronic
18
    Message Center.
19
                MS. NEFF: Based on the factors
    discussed this evening, I move --
20
21
                CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Did we have a
22
    question for him?
23
                MS. NEFF: Oh, I'm sorry.
24
                MR. PAX: No.
```

71

72 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: You're okay. 1 2 Alright. Please continue. MS. NEFF: Based on the factors we 3 4 discussed this evening, I moved to approve 5 Case Number VA-CU-24-36 for the property located at 8600 North High Street, Lewis 6 7 Center, Ohio to allow an Area Variance for an 8 Electronic Message Center Sign identified in 9 this report as EMC Left Side, when it is not 10 permitted in an area zoned Planned Commercial 11 and Office District. 12 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll second. 13 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. 14 Neff, seconded by Mr. Trefz. Those voting: 15 Mr. Trefz. 16 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 17 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 18 MS. ROSS: Yes. 19 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 20 MR. PAX: Yes. 21 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 22 MS. NEFF: Yes. 23 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 24 MR. MCCOY: Yes.

		73
1	MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries.	
2	CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Based on our	
3	discussion and these factors, I move to	
4	approve Case Number VA-CU-24-36 for the	
5	property located at 8600 North High Street,	
6	Lewis Center, Ohio to allow an Area Variance	
7	for Electronic Message Sign, EMC, sign	
8	identified in this report as EMC Center, when	
9	it is not permitted in a zoned Planned	
10	Commercial and Office District (PC).	
11	MS. ROSS: I'll second.	
12	MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Mr.	
13	Trefz, seconded by Ms. Ross. Those voting:	
14	Mr. Trefz.	
15	CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes.	
16	MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross.	
17	MS. ROSS: Yes.	
18	MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax.	
19	MR. PAX: Yes.	
20	MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff.	
21	MS. NEFF: Yes.	
22	MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy.	
23	MR. MCCOY: Yes.	
24	MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries.	

		74
1	MS. ROSS: Based on the testimony	
2	heard and factors considered, I move to	
3	approve Case Number VA-CU-24-36 for the	
4	property located at 8600 North High Street,	
5	Lewis Center, Ohio to allow an Area Variance	
6	for an Electronic Message Center, EMC, sign	
7	identified in this report as EMC Right Side,	
8	when it's not permitted in area zoned Planned	
9	Commercial and Office District(PC).	
10	CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll second.	
11	MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms.	
12	Ross, seconded by Mr. Trefz. Those voting:	
13	Mr. Trefz.	
14	CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes.	
15	MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross.	
16	MS. ROSS: Yes.	
17	MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax.	
18	MR. PAX: Yes.	
19	MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff.	
20	MS. NEFF: Yes.	
21	MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy.	
22	MR. MCCOY: Yes.	
23	MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries.	
24	That's all for VA-CU-24-36.	

I'll direct your attention to the
 next Staff Report and the first variance on
 there. Actually, that would be a Conditional
 Use.

5 MS. ROSS: Based on the testimony heard and the factors considered, I move to 6 7 approve Case No. VA-CU-24-37 for the property 8 located at 10140 Columbus Pike, Lewis Center, 9 Ohio, seeking a conditional use from Orange 10 Township Zoning Resolution Article 24.04(a) to 11 allow for the construction of a monument sign 12 in an area zoned Planned Commercial and Office 13 District (PC), with the condition that they 14 add preowned or some version of that, as well 15 as the street number to the sign, if possible. 16 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll second. 17 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion to approve 18 subject to the conditions described by Ms. 19 Ross, as that's possible, and that's been 20 seconded by Mr. Trefz. Those voting: 21 Mr. Trefz. 22 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 23 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 24 MS. ROSS: Yes.

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

75

MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 1 2 MR. PAX: Yes. 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. MS. NEFF: 4 Yes. 5 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. MR. MCCOY: Yes. 6 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Based on our 8 9 discussion and the factors that we considered, 10 I moved to approve Case No. VA-CU-24-37 for 11 the property located at 10140 Columbus Pike, 12 Lewis Center, Ohio, Area Variance for a 13 monument sign from the Orange Township Zoning Resolution Section 22.4(a)(3)(b) to increase 14 15 the permitted height of the monument sign from 16 15 feet to 25 feet in an area zoned Planned Commercial and Office District (PC). 17 MR. MCCOY: Second. 18 19 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Mr. 20 Trefz, seconded by Mr. McCoy. Those voting: 21 Mr. Trefz. 22 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 23 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 24 MS. ROSS: Yes.

76

MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 1 2 MR. PAX: Yes. 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. MS. NEFF: 4 Yes. 5 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. MR. MCCOY: Yes. 6 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. MS. NEFF: Based on the factors we 8 9 discussed tonight, I moved to approve Case No. 10 VA-CU-24-37 for the property located at 10140 11 Columbus Pike, Lewis Center, Ohio, Area 12 Variance for a monument sign from the Orange 13 Township Zoning Resolution Section 14 22.04(a)(3)(d) to increase the permitted 15 display area the monument sign per side from 16 64 square feet to 69 square feet in an area 17 zoned Planned Commercial and Office District. MS. ROSS: I'll second. 18 19 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. 20 Neff and seconded by Ms. Ross. Those voting: 21 Mr. Trefz. 22 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 23 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 24 MS. ROSS: Yes.

77

78 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 1 2 MR. PAX: Yes. 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. MS. NEFF: 4 Yes. 5 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. MR. MCCOY: Yes. 6 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. MS. NEFF: Based on the factors 8 9 discussed this evening, I move to approve Case 10 No. VA-CU-24-37 for the property located at 11 10140 Columbus Pike, Lewis Center, Ohio, Area 12 Variance for a monument signed from the Orange 13 Township Zoning Resolution Section 14 22.04(a)(3)(e) to increase the permitted 15 display area of the sign from 128 square feet 16 to 138 square feet in an area zoned Planned 17 Commercial and Office District. MR. PAX: I'll second. 18 19 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. 20 Neff, seconded by Mr. Pax. Those voting: 21 Mr. Trefz. 22 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 23 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 24 MS. ROSS: Yes.

MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. 1 2 MR. PAX: Yes. 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 4 MS. NEFF: Yes. 5 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. MR. MCCOY: 6 Yes. 7 MS. NEFF: And the next one has 8 wall sign versus monument sign, the next two? 9 MR. GAYETSKY: Yes, just like the 10 last one was, so that would be a wall sign. 11 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I move to 12 approve, based on these factors, I move to 13 approve Case No. VA-CU-24-37 for the property 14 located at 10140 Columbus Pike, Lewis Center, 15 Ohio, an Area Variance for a wall sign from 16 the Orange Township Zoning Resolution Section 17 22.03(c)(1) to increase the permitted height 18 of the sign identified as Wall Sign A in this 19 report from 15 foot 0 inches to 18 foot zero 20 inches in the area zoned Planned Commercial 21 and Office District (PC). 22 MS. NEFF: Second. 23 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Mr. 24 Trefz, seconded by Ms. Neff. Those voting:

79

80 Mr. Trefz. 1 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: 2 Yes. 3 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. MS. ROSS: 4 Yes. 5 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. MR. PAX: 6 Yes. 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. MS. NEFF: Yes. 8 9 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 10 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 11 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. 12 MS. ROSS: Last but not least, 13 based on the testimony heard and the factors 14 considered, I move to approve Case No. 15 VA-CU-24-37 for the property located at 10140 Columbus Pike, Lewis Center, Ohio, Area 16 17 Variance for a wall sign from the Orange 18 Township Zoning Resolution Section 22.03(c)(1) 19 to increase the permitted height of the sign 20 identified as Wall Sign B in this report from 21 15 feet 0 inches to 17 feet and 1 inch in an 22 area zoned Planned Commercial and Office 23 District (PC). 24 MS. NEFF: Second.

81 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. 1 2 Ross, seconded by Ms. Neff. Those voting: Mr. Trefz. 3 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 4 5 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. MS. ROSS: Yes. 6 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. MR. PAX: Yes. 8 9 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 10 MS. NEFF: Yes. MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 11 12 MR. MCCOY: Yes. 13 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion carries. 14 MS. NEFF: Thank you for your 15 patience. 16 MR. CARPENTER: Thank you. 17 MR. GAYETSKY: You don't have to 18 stay for the rest of this. 19 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes, you're free 20 to go. 21 MS. NEFF: Have a nice evening. 22 It was nice to meet you. 23 MS. ROSS: Thank you very much. 24 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Thank you.

MR. CARPENTER: I wanted to shake 1 2 your hand. Thank you very much. 3 MS. NEFF: Thank you for making this look nice. 4 5 MS. ROSS: Thank you. CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Is there a Motion 6 7 to adjourn? 8 MS. NEFF: We have the training. 9 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: But that's not 10 part of the official. 11 MS. NEFF: Are we approving any 12 minutes tonight, or no? 13 MR. GAYETSKY: So, that is on the 14 Agenda. 15 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Okay. MR. GAYETSKY: I would do that 16 right away, as soon as you can. 17 18 So, the administrative housekeeping items was the minutes. Thanks 19 for bringing that to our attention, Ms. Neff. 20 21 We have two sets of minutes that go back to 22 October and November, and I did get some corrections. They were all spelling, 23 grammatical, capitalization, so I was able to 24

82

incorporate those into both of those sets of 1 minutes. If anyone had any items for 2 3 discussion, you're welcome to bring that up, or we could go ahead with a vote. 4 5 MS. ROSS: You said to vote? MR. GAYETSKY: Yes. October is 6 7 the first one for your Motion. 8 MS. ROSS: What was the date of 9 that, was it the 14th? Because we don't have 10 the Agenda. 11 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: We don't have the 12 Agenda in front of us. 13 MS. ROSS: So if you could give me 14 those two dates, I'll --15 MR. PAX: October 17th. 16 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yeah, October 17 17th. MS. ROSS: October 17th and 18 19 November 14. 20 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: 14. 21 MS. ROSS: Okay. I move that we 22 approve the minutes of October 17, 2024 and 23 November 14th, 2024, as amended. 24 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Can we do them

83

both together? 1 2 MS. DONNAN: I'd do them separate. MS. ROSS: Okay. Then let's go 3 with I move to approve the BZA Minutes of 4 5 October 17th, 2024, as amended. CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll second. 6 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Ms. Ross, seconded by Mr. Trefz. Those voting: 8 9 Mr. Trefz. 10 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. 11 12 MS. ROSS: Yes. 13 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Mr. Pax. 14 MR. PAX: Yes. 15 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 16 MS. NEFF: Abstain. 17 MR. GAYETSKY: And Mr. McCoy. 18 MR. MCCOY: Abstain. 19 MR. GAYETSKY: Okay. That still 20 passes. 21 MS. ROSS: Okay. I move that we 22 approve the BZA Minutes of November 14th, 2024, as amended. 23 24 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: I'll second.

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

84

MR. GAYETSKY: Motion made by Mr. 1 Ross, seconded by Mr. Trefz. Those voting: 2 Mr. Trefz. 3 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yes. 4 5 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Ross. MS. ROSS: 6 Yes. 7 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. Pax. MR. PAX: Yes. 8 9 MR. GAYETSKY: Ms. Neff. 10 MS. NEFF: Abstain. 11 MR. GAYETSKY: Mr. McCoy. 12 MR. MCCOY: Abstain. 13 MR. GAYETSKY: Okay. Motion 14 carries. That's the rest of the 15 housekeeping. And I will forward the future 16 17 minutes onto you when those become available. 18 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Okay. Now is 19 there a Motion to Adjourn? MR. GAYETSKY: So, as far as the 20 rest of the evening, we do have Julie Donnan 21 22 here. This is all public training, so I'm 23 just basically going to hand off to Julie to 24 go through that training.

> U.S. COURT SUPPORT 614.841.7759 MAKING A SCIENCE OF COURT REPORTING SINCE 1971!

85

1 MS. NEFF: Do we adjourn first or 2 no? 3 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: We don't need --MS. DONNAN: So the meeting should 4 5 still stay open because it is part of the public meeting. 6 7 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Okay. 8 MS. DONNAN: If you want to 9 release your Court Reporter, you certainly can 10 do that. 11 CHAIRMAN TREFZ: Yeah. You don't 12 have to stay for this. 13 MR. PAX: No objection. 14 COURT REPORTER: No objection. 15 (Thereupon, the proceedings 16 adjourned at 7:50 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

86

		87
1		
2	CERTIFICATE	
3	The undersigned do hereby certify that	
4	the foregoing proceedings were digitally	
5	recorded; and transcribed via audible	
6	playback, and that the foregoing transcript of	
7	such proceedings is a full, true and correct	
8	transcript of the proceedings, as so recorded.	
9	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
10	my hand and affixed my seal of office on this	
11	10th day of March 2025.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16	Sandra D. Kin,	
17	Registered Professional Reporter,	
18	Certified Digital Reporter, Certified Digital Transcriber.	
19	Notary Public - State of Ohio.	
20	My Commission expires May 14, 2027.	
21		
22		
23		
24		

Г