1	BEFORE THE ORANGE TOWNSHIP
2	BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
3	
4	: :
5	In the Matter of:
6	Public Hearing - : Variance Applications :
7	variance apprications :
8	·
9	
10	PROCEEDINGS
11	before Members of the Orange Township Board of
12	Zoning Members; Chairman Aaron Shipley, Jr.,
13	Kelvin Trefz, Sue D. Ross, Stacey Neff and
14	Punitha Sundar, held at Orange Township Hall,
15	Moffett Room, 1680 East Orange Road, Lewis
16	Center, Ohio, called at 6:00 p.m. on Thursday,
17	September 14, 2023.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1 PROCEEDINGS

- 2 - -
- 3 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: I'd like to
- 4 call to order the meeting of the Orange
- 5 Township Board of Zoning Appeals, Thursday
- 6 September 14th, 2023. Mr. Duffee, can we have a
- 7 roll call, please.
- 8 MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Shipley.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Here.
- 10 MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Trefz.
- MR. TREFZ: Here.
- MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Sundar.
- MS. SUNDAR: Here.
- 14 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Ms. Ross.
- MS. ROSS: Here.
- MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Neff.
- MS. NEFF: Here.
- 18 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: All right.
- 19 We'll start with the swearing in of witnesses.
- 20 Anyone who intends to testify, please raise
- 21 your right hand to be sworn.
- "Do you solemnly swear that the
- 23 testimony that you shall give, shall be the
- 24 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the

- 1 truth? Please state I do.
- 2 ALL: "I do."
- 3 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: And when it's
- 4 your turn to offer testimony, please state
- 5 your full name, address and affirm that you've
- 6 been sworn in. If you're going to offer any
- 7 testimony, I'd ask you to step up to the
- 8 microphone tonight so that the reporter can
- 9 get that, but it would be your full name,
- 10 address and affirm that you've been sworn in,
- 11 please. Thank you.
- 12 And our first order of business
- 13 this evening is a Variance Application VA
- 14 23-17.
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Shipley, we will
- 16 start off with the Staff Report for Variance
- 17 VA-23-17. The applicant is Ms. Meaghan
- 18 O'Connor, who is present with us this evening.
- 19 This is for a property located at the
- 20 northeast corner of US 23 and East Orange
- 21 Road, having Parcel ID No. 318-234-04-003-001.
- 22 They are seeking a total of three area
- 23 variances from Rezoning Case 08-0610 Orange
- 24 Corporate Park, in an area zoned Planned

 Δ

- 1 Commercial and Office District, or PC.
- 2 The two variances for setbacks are
- 3 to allow for the proposed daycare to encroach
- 4 27 feet and seven inches into the 75-foot
- 5 front setback along Orange Road and for the
- 6 daycare to encroach 5 feet and 10 inches into
- 7 the 30-foot perimeter setback. The applicant
- 8 is also requesting one area variance from the
- 9 Orange County Zoning Resolution Section 21.01E
- 10 to allow for a daycare to have fewer parking
- 11 spaces than the required one space per
- 12 employee plus one space for every five
- 13 children. The applicant applied for the
- 14 commercial permit on July 13th 2023 of this
- 15 year. The subject property again is located
- 16 on the northeast corner of US 23 and Orange
- 17 Road and it is owned by Orange 23 Group LLC.
- 18 On the following page of the Staff
- 19 Report, you can see the aerial of the
- 20 property, as well as the surrounding area. So
- 21 the property is surrounded to the north, south
- 22 and east by Planned Commercial and Office
- 23 Districts, and then to the west on the other
- 24 side of 23 is Farm Residential Property that

- 1 is currently undeveloped.
- 2 The next page of the Staff Report,
- 3 it goes through the variances one by one. So
- 4 the first variance request is for the front
- 5 setback. So the proposed daycare would
- 6 encroach 27 feet and 7 inches into the 70-feet
- 7 setback along East Orange Road. This is
- 8 roughly a 39 percent variance request from
- 9 Rezoning Case 08-0610 Orange Corporate Park.
- 10 Andrew, if you can scroll down just a little
- 11 bit, you can see the proposed site plan with
- 12 the front setback on the left-hand side of that
- 13 screen measured out.
- 14 The second variance is for the
- 15 perimeter setback, or essentially the side
- 16 yard setback. You can see on that drawing
- 17 that it is on the right-side of where Andrew's
- 18 mouse is now. So the proposed daycare would
- 19 encroach 5 feet and 10 inches into the 30-feet
- 20 perimeter setback. This roughly a 19 percent
- 21 variance request from Rezoning Case 08-06110
- 22 Orange Corporate Park.
- on the following page is the final
- 24 variance. This one is for the parking

1 requirement. So the parking requirements for

- 2 daycares, as listed in the Orange Township
- 3 Zoning Resolution Section 21.01E is that
- 4 daycares are required to have one space per
- 5 employee plus one space for every five
- 6 children. In their application, the applicant
- 7 specified that the daycare would have a
- 8 maximum of 28 employees and 232 students at
- 9 maximum capacity. At one spot per employee plus
- 10 one spot for every five children, the daycare is
- 11 required to have 75 parking spaces. This
- 12 calculation can be seen in Exhibit 2. So 75
- 13 parking spaces required. The site plan provided
- 14 by the applicant shows 50 parking spaces, which
- 15 is a shortage of 25 parking spaces. This is
- 16 roughly a 33 percent variance request from
- 17 Rezoning Case 08-06110 Orange Corporate Park.
- I will note that, although it was
- 19 not included in the materials, I do believe the
- 20 applicant is also trying to work out some
- 21 offsite parking arrangements. I will let the
- 22 applicant give any details or updates on that
- 23 plan, or escalations. That is all I have for

1 now. The applicant is here, if the Board has

- 2 questions.
- 3 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Ms. O'Connor.
- 4 MS. O'CONNOR: So my name is
- 5 Meaghan O'Connor. I have been sworn in. And
- 6 my company address 1695 Twelve Mile Road,
- 7 Berkley, MI.
- 8 So for our proposed site, we have
- 9 actually worked through multiple iterations of
- 10 this site plan. And what you're looking at is
- 11 the best we could come up with that would
- 12 allow us to meet our requirements as for play
- 13 space, as well as maximize the most parking
- 14 you could possibly provide, while allowing for
- 15 two site entrances, which was a requirement
- 16 from the Fire Marshal, navigated space.
- We obviously are encroaching on
- 18 that front yard setback. I put that in quotes
- 19 just because the front yard is actually what
- 20 we are considering our rear yard, but it is
- 21 that Orange Road frontage. And we are
- 22 actually 70 feet removed from the internal
- 23 road, which is actually where the front of our
- 24 building is. So, we are meeting the intent for

- 1 the most part of that ordinance. But
- 2 obviously, the rear of the yard is what is
- 3 facing Orange Road.
- As far as the parking goes, this
- 5 tenant has determined that based on their
- 6 experience, they are an international operator
- 7 so they've operated in Canada for many years.
- 8 They do have multiple sites here in the US.
- 9 And this would be their first build to suit
- 10 building, so they are creating it themselves.
- 11 We are developing it on their behalf, but they
- 12 are building it for themselves. After going
- 13 through that process, they determined that
- 14 between 35 and 40 spaces meets their needs. So,
- 15 the 75 requirement far outpaces what they
- 16 anticipate ever needing, and then 50 is sort
- 17 of the middle ground, so between what they
- 18 think they need and then what the ordinance
- 19 sort of calls for.
- The other thing I do want to point
- 21 out is 232 Students would be the absolute
- 22 maximum, there would be no more allowed. But
- 23 that doesn't mean that that's actually what
- 24 will be there every day. Two hundred and

- 1 thirty-two students would be every single
- 2 possible spot is filled and every single
- 3 student showed up on the same day, so that's
- 4 not very realistic as to how the students
- 5 operate. Many students come, you know, a
- 6 couple days a week, 28 students could possibly
- 7 be in the after-school program, which would
- 8 mean they are not being dropped off in the
- 9 morning. They wouldn't factor into that.
- 10 And then obviously, most parents,
- 11 not most parents, some parents have multiple
- 12 children so it would be, you know, one car
- 13 picking up maybe one or two students at a
- 14 time. We also wouldn't very regularly have 28
- 15 staff members there at a time, so as students
- 16 go home, the staff can be reduced. So it all
- 17 sort of filters out together. We believe 50
- 18 spaces does meet our need.
- 19 As Robin alluded to, we have had
- 20 conversations with nearby property owners
- 21 regarding a shared parking agreement. At this
- 22 time, we don't have one in place, reason being
- 23 as we were able to get to 50 spaces. We have
- 24 really been pursuing it more closer to 35

- 1 spaces and now we think that with 50, we're
- 2 more than satisfied. But we do have a
- 3 connection with the seller of the property to
- 4 us does own a parking lot just adjacent to the
- 5 site. So if it ever were to become a concern,
- 6 we could pursue that avenue, but at this time,
- 7 we don't have that in place. Please let me
- 8 know if you have any questions.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: So I guess the
- 10 starting question would be, you said that
- 11 you've worked every angle, just kind of a way
- 12 to put it. So what's the alternative? If the
- 13 variance is not approved to any of the
- 14 requests, what's the alternative?
- MS. O'CONNOR: If we can't get the
- 16 variance for the setback, we don't anticipate
- 17 the site working. There are licensure
- 18 requirements for play space, which should be
- 19 the obvious place to move the building and
- 20 sort of adjust, but because it is a
- 21 requirement for daycare to have certain amount
- 22 of play area, we can't really reduce that.
- The project does require having
- 24 the capacity for about 230 students for it to

- 1 be financially viable for the tenant and the
- 2 parking is really not up to us. So it does
- 3 come down to if it doesn't work on this
- 4 property, we still really like Orange
- 5 Township, we would perhaps try to find an
- 6 alternative location, if we could. But this
- 7 does -- it is sort of the ideal location from
- 8 the tenant's perspective.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: And I bring
- 10 that up because it's a substantial
- 11 encroachment into the --
- MS. O'CONNOR: Yeah.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: And you know
- 14 that. I'm not trying to point that out, but
- 15 it is a substantial encroachment at a busier
- 16 corner in Orange Township.
- MS. O'CONNOR: Yeah. And if -- so
- 18 because that road that we will be entering off
- 19 of is sort of a private road, our frontage is
- 20 solely considered Orange Road. But if that
- 21 was a public dedicated road, which we don't
- 22 have the ability to make a public road, but if
- 23 it was, then our frontage would be along that
- 24 internal road and we would be meeting with

1 those setback requirements. Obviously, that's

- 2 not the case, but just from an experience
- 3 perspective, it would feel like similar to
- 4 other properties in the Township of the
- 5 70-foot setback.
- 6 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Right. And
- 7 that's one of the reasons I said that, as you
- 8 pointed out early on that the back of the
- 9 building you looked at it a different, but
- 10 that's where it's measured from.
- MS. O'CONNOR: Yep.
- 12 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Okay. And one
- 13 more question with regard to parking spots. So
- 14 you settled on 50. So is 50 parking spots the
- 15 most parking spots you can get out of that?

- MS. O'CONNOR: Yes. Yes.
- 18 MR. TREFZ: Where on this diagram
- 19 is the play area?
- MS. O'CONNOR: So it's along the
- 21 side of the building and the back by Orange
- 22 Road.
- MR. TREFZ: Okay.
- MS. O'CONNOR: I have to orient

- 1 myself. North is up, so it would the south
- 2 and the west portions. It's sort of dotted.
- 3 So the fence does come up to essentially where
- 4 the property line will become.
- 5 MR. TREFZ: Okay.
- 6 MS. O'CONNOR: Yep.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: The 19 percent
- 8 variance for the perimeter is actually based
- 9 upon the 70-foot, so what my point is, if that
- 10 wasn't that far forward, then that corner
- 11 wouldn't be that far forward. Is that true or
- 12 is that wrong?
- MR. DUFFEE: I think not quite.
- 14 So it's a 30-foot setback and it's kind of
- 15 just a function of that oddly shaped parcel.
- 16 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Right.
- 17 MR. DUFFEE: Just that that line
- 18 does trigger that additional, you know, if
- 19 this parcel was a perfect rectangle, then you
- 20 know, that side-yard perimeter setback would
- 21 not really apply. But you are correct in
- 22 saying that, yes, it would still be part of
- 23 the front yard setback.
- MR. TREFZ: Is that based on the

1 length of the building at all or the width?

- 2 MR. DUFFEE: No.
- 3 MR. TREFZ: It's just --
- 4 MR. DUFFEE: It's just an X amount
- 5 of frontage to the right.
- 6 MR. TREFZ: Okay.
- 7 MS. SUNDAR: Do you have an
- 8 alternate plan in which doesn't require, you
- 9 know, simpler ones, like, fewer parking lots?
- 10 Do you have any plans already in place?
- 11 MS. O'CONNOR: We have an earlier
- 12 version. We still did have setback variances.
- 13 In that they were less, but that I think got
- 14 us at 38 parking spaces.
- MS. SUNDAR: Okay.
- 16 MS. O'CONNOR: So, again, that was
- 17 an amount that the tenant is comfortable with,
- 18 but it does increase the variance for the
- 19 parking reduction that we would be requesting
- 20 from you.
- MS. SUNDAR: Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Any other
- 23 businesses along Orange Road there that are
- 24 encroaching into the setback, that you're

- 1 aware of?
- MR. DUFFEE: Not that I'm aware
- 3 of, and that is with the caveat that because
- 4 all of our commercial properties are in
- 5 planned districts, there are different planned
- 6 districts along Orange Road, so they may well
- 7 have different setbacks. There are standard
- 8 setbacks in our Zoning Resolution, which I can
- 9 pull up, that are separate from the setbacks
- 10 that are laid out in that Development Plan.
- 11 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: I guess the
- 12 reason I ask that, and I'm not trying to
- 13 compare it to anything, not significantly
- 14 trying to compare it, but we developed Orange
- 15 Road, along Orange Road, and so I guess the
- 16 planned district piece would be the difference
- 17 with regards to that.
- 18 MR. DUFFEE: Yeah. So the short
- 19 answer is that in Planned Commercial Office
- 20 Districts Orange Road's a Class B Road, as
- 21 defined by our zoning resolution and that
- 22 standard setback is 70 feet, which does match
- 23 this setback in the development plan.
- MR. TREFZ: And the Post Office

- 1 is?
- 2 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Businesses
- 3 further up are in a planned district setting.
- 4 MR. TREFZ: Yeah.
- 5 MR. DUFFEE: Correct. If you give
- 6 me 30 seconds, I can measure both sites.
- 7 MR. TREFZ: That's the only
- 8 building I can picture at the moment.
- 9 MS. NEFF: So because it's the
- 10 Orange address, it falls in the Orange Road
- 11 setback?
- MR. DUFFEE: Yes and no. It's not
- 13 really the address. It's just any distance
- 14 from Orange Road, any structure has to be 70
- 15 feet, that is the setback.
- MS. NEFF: Gotcha.
- 17 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: And again, not
- 18 to prolong the -- the variance is substantial,
- 19 that's the discussion that we need to have to
- 20 kid of run that track line, I quess, for lack
- 21 of a better term.
- MR. DUFFEE: For reference the
- 23 Post Office, a little farther down Orange
- 24 Road, is 125 feet from the right --

1 MR. KOENIG: Also, the Post Office

- 2 is in a Planned Industrial District.
- 3 MR. DUFFEE: I figured.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: So most every
- 5 business, most every business along that, I
- 6 guess, the railroad tracks at 23 is in a
- 7 Planned Industrial.
- 8 MR. DUFFEE: Planned Industrial or
- 9 Planned Commercial.
- MR. TREFZ: Yeah.
- 11 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Yeah. Does
- 12 anybody have any questions? Does anybody want
- 13 to make a Motion?
- 14 MR. TREFZ: Yeah. I move to deny
- 15 Case VA-23-17 for the property located Parcel
- 16 ID 318-234-04-003-001 Lewis Center, Ohio,
- 17 seeking an area variance for Rezoning Case
- 18 080610 Orange Corporate Park, to allow a
- 19 daycare to encroach 27 feet and 7 inches into
- 20 a 70-foot front setback along Orange Road in
- 21 the area of zoned Planned Commercial and
- 22 Office District because of the reasons that
- 23 are stated above. Do you want me to read
- 24 those?

1 MR. DUFFEE: It's not necessary to

- 2 read those.
- 3 MR. TREFZ: Okay. That's my
- 4 Motion.
- 5 MS. ROSS: I'll second the Motion.
- 6 MR. DUFFEE: Motion to deny the
- 7 front setback variance made by Mr. Trefz,
- 8 seconded by Ms. Ross. Those voting:
- 9 MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Shipley.
- 10 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Trefz.
- MR. TREFZ: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Sundar.
- MS. SUNDAR: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Ms. Ross.
- MS. ROSS: Yes.
- 17 MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Neff.
- MS. NEFF: No.
- 19 MR. DUFFEE: Motion carries with
- 20 four to one. The front setback variance is
- 21 denied. We still have the other two
- 22 variances.
- MR. TREFZ: Yes. Based on the
- 24 factors, I move to approve Case VA-23-17 for

- 1 the property located with the Parcel ID of
- 2 318-234-04-003-001 Lewis Center, Ohio seeking
- 3 an area of variance for Rezoning Case of
- 4 08-1610 Orange Corporate park to allow for the
- 5 daycare 5 feet 10 inches into a 30-foot
- 6 perimeter setback in the area zoned Planned
- 7 Commercial and Office District. That's the
- 8 motion.
- 9 MS. NEFF: Second.
- 10 MR. DUFFEE: Motion to approve the
- 11 variance for the perimeter setback made by Mr.
- 12 Trefz, seconded by Ms. Neff. Those voting:
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Shipley.
- 14 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Trefz.
- MR. TREFZ: Yes.
- 17 MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Sundar.
- MS. SUNDAR: Yes.
- 19 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Ms. Ross.
- MS. ROSS: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Neff.
- MS. NEFF: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Motion carries, the
- 24 perimeter setback variance is approved.

1 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: The last one is

- 2 VA-23-17, I would make a Motion to deny the
- 3 proposed seeking an area variance from
- 4 Rezoning Case 08-0610 Orange Corporate Park to
- 5 allow for a daycare to have 50 parking spaces
- 6 for the required 75 parking spaces in the area
- 7 zoned Planned Commercial and Office District.
- 8 MS. ROSS: I second.
- 9 MR. DUFFEE: Motion to deny the
- 10 variance request for reduction in parking
- 11 spaces made by Mr. Shipley. Those voting:
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Shipley.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Trefz.
- MR. TREFZ: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Sundar.
- MS. SUNDAR: Yes.
- 18 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Ms. Ross.
- MS. ROSS: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Neff.
- MS. NEFF: No.
- MR. DUFFEE: Motion carries four
- 23 to one. The parking variance is denied.
- MS. O'CONNOR: Thank you for your

- 1 time and consideration.
- 2 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Thank you.
- 3 - -
- 4 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Our next
- 5 application is Variance Application 23-18.
- 6 Mr. Duffee.
- 7 MR. DUFFEE: Thank you, Mr.
- 8 Chairman. So this is Variance Case VA-23-18.
- 9 The applicant is 3-Pillar Homes for a property
- 10 located at 5504 Maple Drive, Lewis Center,
- 11 Ohio, having Parcel ID No. 318-210-20-002-000.
- 12 This property is zoned Single
- 13 Family Residential District. They are seeking
- 14 an area variance from Rezoning Case ZON-22-04
- 15 Evans farm for a home that encourages into the
- 16 rear yard setback.
- 17 The applicant is requesting an
- 18 area variance from the aforementioned rezoning
- 19 case to allow for a home to encroach 22 feet
- 20 and 8 inches into the 35-feet rear yard
- 21 setback in an area zoned Single Family Planned
- 22 Residential District. The applicant applied
- 23 for a permit for a new home on July 18th of
- 24 this year. After being informed that the

1 setbacks are oriented to the public road and

- 2 not to the private alley, the applicant
- 3 applied for the variance.
- 4 The property is surrounded on all
- 5 four sides by Single Family Planned
- 6 Residential District in Evans Farm. Some of
- 7 those lots are built with homes and some of
- 8 those residences are not yet built or
- 9 completed.
- 10 On the following page that the
- 11 Staff Report, you can see the aerial view of
- 12 this property 5504 Maple Drive. All right.
- 13 So this is a this little bit of an odd case.
- 14 So in terms of our review, rezoning text
- 15 specifies that the front setbacks are measured
- 16 from an internal public right-of-way. This
- 17 property only has public right-a-way frontage
- 18 on Maple Drive establishing the front setback
- 19 along this road. The rear and side setbacks
- 20 are then established in accordance with the
- 21 front setback. The orientation of the
- 22 setbacks can be seen in Exhibit 2.
- On the following page, the rear
- 24 setback for primary structures is 35 feet.

- 1 The proposed home complies with all other
- 2 setbacks.
- 3 So again, the proposed home would
- 4 encroach 22 feet and 8 inches into the 35-feet
- 5 rear yard setback for primary structures.
- 6 This is roughly a 65 percent variance request
- 7 from Rezoning Case ZON-22-04.
- 8 So I do mention that this is an
- 9 odd case. So, Andrew, if you don't mind going
- 10 back to the Auditor's aerial real quick. Sc
- 11 you can see the kind of north/south road
- 12 there, which is Maple Drive, that is a public
- 13 roadway. That little diagonal connector road
- 14 between Maple Street and Linden Street to the
- 15 south, that is a private right-of-way. It was
- 16 built to public standards, but the ownership
- 17 remains private. Because our zoning text
- 18 states that the -- that all lots shall have
- 19 frontage on a public right-of-way, when
- 20 reviewing the zoning permit when it came in,
- 21 we realized that we would consider the front
- 22 setback to be along Maple Drive; however, the
- 23 home is oriented along the private drive so it
- 24 will face the private drive. So essentially,

- 1 this is almost a swapping of the rear yard
- 2 setback and the side yard setback, if that
- 3 makes sense.
- 4 Andrew, if you don't mind going to
- 5 Exhibit 2, I think that makes it pretty clear
- 6 as well. So, this is how we consider the
- 7 setbacks based on the language of the rezoning
- 8 that the front is along Maple Drive; however,
- 9 the home will be oriented such that it will
- 10 face the side yard setback, which is the
- 11 private alley and the rear yard setback, which
- 12 is way in the side yard setback is what they
- 13 are asking relief from.
- MS. SUNDAR: Quick question on the
- 15 private access. So who will get to use that,
- 16 I mean, who will get the access to use the
- 17 private?
- 18 MR. DUFFEE: It is technically a
- 19 private street, but there are no blockades.
- 20 There's no gates. Essentially, if you drove
- 21 down it, you would not realize that it was
- 22 private. It looks like a public street.
- 23 Actually, Orange Township tends to pile snow
- 24 there already, but the ownership remains

- 1 private.
- MS. NEFF: Are there other lots
- 3 that will have the same issue?
- 4 MR. DUFFEE: I am not 100 percent
- 5 sure on that. We anticipate no. And I think
- 6 this was -- I'm not sure about -- a brief
- 7 history lesson.
- 8 Evans Farm has had multiple
- 9 amendments to their zoning since it was first
- 10 zoned, you know, ten years ago or so. The
- 11 most -- well, not most reasons, but one of
- 12 those rezoning amendments was to essentially
- 13 compress everything from about a 500-page
- 14 document to a 50-page document. And that
- 15 500-page document included some terms, which
- 16 would allow this to happen without the need
- 17 for a variance. When that was compressed,
- 18 somehow that was lost, that language went away
- 19 and now in order to make these lots compliant
- 20 with our zoning, a variance is required.
- 21 And Mr. Dan Griffin is here
- 22 representing the applicant and Evans Farm, if
- 23 the Board has questions.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Mr. Griffin.

- 1 MR. GRIFFIN: Dan Griffin, 5672
- 2 Evans Farm Drive, Lewis Center, Ohio, 43035.
- 3 I've moved up here 11 years ago to do the
- 4 Evans Farm project.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: You have been
- 6 sworn in, sir?
- 7 MR. GRIFFIN: Yes, I did.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Thank you.
- 9 MR. GRIFFIN: So sort of when
- 10 Robin called and this happened and the builder
- 11 called me and said, what the heck. I think
- 12 this is again a cleanup that made sense, and
- 13 Robin and I talked about it. Rather than
- 14 amend the zoning to meet the zoning that this
- 15 actually meets, we thought a variance made
- 16 more sense than amending the zoning. It meets
- 17 every other requirement.
- 18 Personally I built the streets in
- 19 public standards. I didn't know it got
- 20 platted as a private drive. And as you can
- 21 see, the middle lot to get frontage on a
- 22 public street actually owns that whole street.
- 23 We use it. The trucks and the garbage trucks
- 24 and everything pulls around. So as far as

- 1 maintenance of it, we consider it a public
- 2 street. But rather than go through the
- 3 process of amending everything and then asking
- 4 Orange Township to accept it as a public
- 5 street to meet all the requirements that we
- 6 anticipated anyway.
- 7 So it means everything else, it
- 8 just -- it sort of surprised us. And so we're
- 9 here to clean up we hope the last little
- 10 cleanup from Section 22, because that's what
- 11 I've been doing for the last five or six
- 12 years, so just cleaning up a long list of --
- 13 you know, they're still within the purview of
- 14 what we wanted to do at Evans Farm and create.
- They're going to be all the front
- 16 yard, you know, 20 feet back, right behind the
- 17 sidewalk there will be the hedges, so visually
- 18 landscaping is going to meet all the design
- 19 requirements. So we were just made aware of
- 20 this and I think we felt this was the best way
- 21 to clean it up and come to you for a variance.
- MS. SUNDAR: Tell me about the
- 23 drainage easement.
- MR. GRIFFIN: Yeah, there's

1 drainage easements throughout Evans Farm that

- 2 have to be required to allow water to pass
- 3 through, surface water. There's actually
- 4 probably a storm sewer that goes there and
- 5 connects to the storm sewer on the -- that's
- 6 throughout the community.
- 7 We have a lot of lots that have
- 8 drainage easements in the back of them and
- 9 then storm sewer pipe that's actually on the
- 10 lots. Across the street on Linden, the same
- 11 thing, there's one all the way down from
- 12 Butternut to Linden.
- MS. SUNDAR: How close is that
- 14 from the lot line, that drainage easement?
- MR. GRIFFIN: Well, it runs -- how
- 16 close is it to the lot -- which lot?
- 17 MS. SUNDAR: The 9004.
- 18 MR. GRIFFIN: I mean, let me just
- 19 see what you're -- I have a drawing and it's
- 20 easier for me to see it from here. So how
- 21 close is it?
- MR. TREFZ: Well, it's 50 feet,
- 23 isn't it?
- MR. GRIFFIN: It's a 20-foot

- 1 sanitary easement and it looks like it's 10
- 2 feet off the 9001 this way.
- 3 MR. TREFZ: Okay.
- 4 MR. GRIFFIN: Yeah, we always try
- 5 to give a little, instead of putting them
- 6 right on the property line, we've always tried
- 7 to design so that way when people are digging
- 8 on the next lot that we don't have any pipe
- 9 near them.
- 10 MS. SUNDAR: Just because you're
- 11 here.
- MR. GRIFFIN: Sure.
- MS. SUNDAR: So what's going in
- 14 here, is that a park?
- MR. GRIFFIN: That's a park, yeah.
- 16 That's a park.
- 17 MR. GRIFFIN: In fact, Friday
- 18 night if anybody wants to come out, we're
- 19 having a bonfire and smores for the kids. But
- 20 yes, it's -- I think this is just a normal
- 21 cleanup of language that we have in our zoning
- 22 text that didn't meet the private drive side
- 23 of it. It meets everything else, so.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: The

- 1 Architectural Review Board Approval, that's
- 2 the permit there, a copy of it we have. As I
- 3 understand it, they approved it all, only to
- 4 find out that that setback didn't meet Orange
- 5 Township's.
- 6 MR. GRIFFIN: Yeah.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Okay.
- 8 MR. GRIFFIN: We didn't -- and I'm
- 9 on the Architectural Review --
- 10 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Well, that
- 11 would have been my point. We have the Evans
- 12 Farm Architectural Board said, yes, this is
- 13 perfectly fine.
- MR. GRIFFIN: Yes.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: It's all
- 16 approved.
- MR. GRIFFIN: Yeah. yep.
- 18 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Okay.
- MS. ROSS: So Robin, you said this
- 20 essentially cleans this up and swaps the
- 21 setbacks to just be on the other road. Other
- 22 than that, if you kind of look at it, if we
- 23 change, you know, if it's all changed, does
- 24 this building then sit in a similar setback

- 1 from the other houses in that area?
- 2 MR. DUFFEE: Yes. Yes, it will
- 3 meet the other setbacks.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Any other
- 5 questions? Is there any public comment? No
- 6 other questions from the Board.
- 7 I'll make a Motion. I'll say the
- 8 65 percent jumps out at me, so it always does.
- 9 But with that said, I will make a Motion based
- 10 upon the presentation, based upon the
- 11 architectural review input and the Evans Farm
- 12 current setbacks, to approve a VA-23-18 for a
- 13 rear yard setback for a property located at
- 14 5504 Maple Drive, Lewis Center, seeking an
- 15 area variance from Rezoning Case ZON-22-04
- 16 Evans Farm, to allow for a home to encroach 22
- 17 feet and 8 inches into the 35-foot rear vard
- 18 setback.
- 19 MS. ROSS: I'll second the Motion.
- 20 MR. DUFFEE: Motion to approve
- 21 made by Mr. Shipley and seconded by Ms. Ross.
- Those voting:
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Shipley.
- 24 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Yes.

1 MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Trefz.

- 2 MR. TREFZ: Yes.
- 3 MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Sundar.
- 4 MS. SUNDAR: Yes.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Ms. Ross.
- 6 MS. ROSS: Yes.
- 7 MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Neff.
- 8 MS. NEFF: Yes.
- 9 MR. DUFFEE: Motion carries.
- 10 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Thank you very
- 11 much.
- MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you. And
- 13 also, I just thought I'd, while I've got
- 14 everybody, it's nice to see everybody again,
- 15 and certainly meet some new people. October
- 16 29th we are having our Fall Festival and we
- 17 really want the whole community, Orange
- 18 Township, Delaware County to be there, so
- 19 you'll be getting an invite. I'll send it
- 20 through you and carry it on. Thank you very
- 21 much.
- 22 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Okay. Our next
- 23 application, is Variance Application 23-19.
- MR. DUFFEE: Yes, sir. All right.

- 1 So this is Variance Case VA-23-19. The
- 2 applicant is 3-Pillar Homes for a property
- 3 located at 2331 Linden Street, Lewis Center,
- 4 Ohio. I have the Parcel ID No.
- 5 318-210-20-003-000. This again is a Single
- 6 Family Planned Residential District. They are
- 7 seeking an area variance from Rezoning Case
- 8 ZON-22-04 Evans Farm to establish the front
- 9 building setback along a private alley.
- 10 So for a Summary, the applicant is
- 11 requesting an area variance from the
- 12 aforementioned rezoning case to establish the
- 13 front setback along the private alley in the
- 14 area zoned as Single Family Planned
- 15 Residential District.
- 16 After discussions with Zoning
- 17 Staff regarding the adjacent property 5504
- 18 Maple Drive, it was determined that this lot
- 19 would require a variance in order to build on it.
- 20 The subject property includes a lot, in quotation
- 21 marks, as well as the private alley that the lot
- 22 lies on. The lot has no public frontage and
- 23 requires an area variance to establish a setback
- 24 on the private alley to

- 1 make it buildable for a home. No building
- 2 plans for the home have yet been submitted to
- 3 the Zoning Staff at this time. The property
- 4 is currently owned by Zenios Development LLC.
- 5 Again, this property is surrounded
- 6 on all four sides by Single Family Planned
- 7 Residential District in Evans Farm. This is
- 8 also the adjacent property to the previous
- 9 case. So you can see there it is a little --
- 10 it's a bit of a funky lot, which is a
- 11 technical zoning term, because the lot legally
- 12 includes the private alley, which is marked as
- 13 a private right-of-way, so there is a
- 14 right-of-way easement along that alley.
- So, our rezoning text specifies
- 16 that front setbacks are measured again from an
- 17 internal public right-of-way, not private.
- 18 This property only has public frontage where
- 19 the alley meets the public roads. In order to
- 20 establish feasible setbacks, the law requires
- 21 an area variance to establish a front setback
- 22 along the private alley easement.
- The proposed area variance would
- 24 establish the front setback for the property

- along the private alley easement line rather
- 2 than along a public road right-of-way. The size
- 3 of the setbacks would then be established in
- 4 accordance with the proposed front setbacks. So
- 5 once we have the front setback established, we
- 6 can then determine the side rear setbacks. For
- 7 this lot, the front setback would be 10 feet, the
- 8 side setbacks would be six feet, and the rear
- 9 setback for the primary structure would be 35
- 10 feet. All of those are standard for Evans Farm.
- On Exhibit 1, you can see, if this
- 12 variance were to be approved, those would be the
- 13 setbacks for the property in question.
- 14 MR. GRIFFIN: So again, the same
- 15 thing, the same setbacks, everything else is met.
- MS. NEFF: So this property owns the
- 17 private drive?

18

- MR. DUFFEE: Technically, yes.
- 21 MS. NEFF: How does that work for
- 22 road maintenance so when this needs repaved?
- MR. DUFFEE: So, that is a good
- 24 question. I mean, the easements would be on

- 1 the property, which means that whoever owns
- 2 that property, you know, I'm assuming the
- 3 easement has terms for maintenance that it's
- 4 not only accessible on that private alley, but
- 5 maintenance as well, that people are allowed
- 6 to come in and maintain that road. And I am
- 7 assuming that, I have not seen the language,
- 8 but that that being a private drive, that it
- 9 would be maintained by the Evans Farm HOA.
- 10 MR. GRIFFIN: You are correct.
- 11 So, I'm a real estate lawyer, too. You're
- 12 correct, that's how we're going to handle it.
- 13 The HOA is actually going to take over
- 14 maintenance of that because it's not fair to
- 15 put it on a lot. Just because when we did the
- 16 zoning -- and we build it to the public
- 17 standards.
- 18 Again, this is first time I saw
- 19 it, but it's not fair to put that on one lot
- 20 to maintain that and the other lots have
- 21 frontage on a public street or have three lots
- 22 participate, so the HOA is taking over
- 23 maintaining the street, taking care of any
- 24 repairs, in other words, the developers are

- 1 aware.
- 2 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Any questions?
- MS. SUNDAR: I will make a Motion.
- 4 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Well, any
- 5 public comment?
- 6 MS. SUNDAR: Okay. I make a
- 7 Motion to approve based on these factors. I
- 8 move to approve Case No. VA-23-19 for the
- 9 property located at 2331 Linden Street, Lewis
- 10 Center, Ohio, seeking an area variance
- 11 Rezoning Case/ZON-22-04 Evans Farm to allow
- 12 for the front building setback to be
- 13 established from the private alley easement on
- 14 the property in an area zoned Single Family
- 15 Planned Residential District.
- 16 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: I'll second.
- MR. DUFFEE: Motion to approve the
- 18 areas variance by Ms. Sundar, seconded by Mr.
- 19 Shipley. Those voting:
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Shipley.
- 21 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Mr. Trefz.
- MR. TREFZ: Yes.
- MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Sundar.

- 1 MS. SUNDAR: Yes.
- 2 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Ms. Ross.
- 3 MS. ROSS: Yes.
- 4 MR. DUFFEE: Ms. Neff.
- 5 MS. NEFF: Yes.
- 6 MR. GRIFFIN: Thank you very much,
- 7 you guys. I appreciate it.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: You have a good
- 9 evening.
- 10 - -
- 11 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: All we have
- 12 left is the approval of the minutes, right?
- MR. DUFFEE: Yes. So I believe we
- 14 set up the July meeting minutes. I received
- 15 the August minutes yesterday, and I apologize,
- 16 I did not get a chance to send them out to you
- 17 so we can kick that to the next meeting.
- 18 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Did you receive
- 19 any corrections for the July minutes?
- 20 MR. DUFFEE: I have not received
- 21 any corrections, no.
- MS. ROSS: I did not receive
- 23 those.
- MR. DUFFEE: Okay. They should

1 have been on the email that I sent out with

- 2 all of the meeting materials. If they were
- 3 not, then I apologize.
- 4 MS. NEFF: Oh, yeah, I apologize,
- 5 I missed that.
- MS. ROSS: I must have missed
- 7 them, too.
- 8 MS. NEFF: I thought they said
- 9 they were coming, so I didn't click on it.
- 10 MR. DUFFEE: My apologies for the
- 11 miscommunication. If the Board prefers to
- 12 kick those back to the next meeting, we can do
- 13 that as well.
- 14 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Do we need a
- 15 Motion for that?
- MR. DUFFEE: No.
- 17 CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: So that means
- 18 the next meeting we'll have July and August
- 19 and possibly September.
- If I can ask you that, it's
- 21 perfectly fine, it's a public meeting, but did
- 22 you have something specifically or were you
- 23 just stopping in to observe?
- 24 PUBLIC: Just stopped by.

CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: Okay. Perfect. Anything else? MR. DUFFEE: No, sir. CHAIRMAN SHIPLEY: All right. Meeting adjourned. Thank you, folks. (Thereupon, the proceedings adjourned at 6:50 p.m.)

		41
1	CERTIFICATE	
2	The undersigned do hereby certify that	
3	the foregoing proceedings were digitally	
4	recorded and electronically transmitted by	
5	Jennifer Koontz, and transcribed via audible	
6	playback, and that the foregoing transcript of	
7	such proceedings is a full, true and correct	
8	transcript of the proceedings as so recorded.	
9	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set	
10	my hand and affixed my seal of office on this	
11	11th day of October 2023.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	Sandra D. Kin	
19	Sandra D. Kin, Registered Professional Reporter,	
20	Certified Digital Reporter, Certified Digital Transcriber.	
21	Notary Public - State of Ohio.	
22	My Commission expires May 14, 2027.	
23		
24		