

REGULAR MEETING – MARION COMMON COUNCIL – JULY 21, 2020 – 7:00 P.M., CITY HALL

The Common Council of the City of Marion, Indiana met in regular session on Tuesday, the 21st day of July, 2020 at the hour of 7:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, City Hall.

On the call of the roll the following members were shown to be absent or present as follows:

Present: Luzadder, Cowgill, Fouce, Batchelor, Cain, Fordyce, Henderson and Brunner.

Absent: Cline.

The minutes of the previous special meeting of June 23, 2020 and the regular meeting of July 7, 2020 were presented. Motion was made by Councilman Jim Brunner for approval of the minutes from the special meeting on June 23rd. Motion was seconded by Councilman Gary Fordyce and carried by the following vote. Aye: Luzadder, Cowgill, Fouce, Batchelor, Cain, Fordyce and Brunner. Nay: None. Abstain: Henderson. Motion was then made by Councilman Brian Cowgill, 2nd by Fordyce to approve the minutes of the July 7th meeting as presented. Motion carried by a unanimous vote.

COMMITTEE REPORTS: Council President Deb Cain told the Council, a reminder that there is a Budget Review Sub-Committee meeting Thursday at 7:00 p.m. here in the Chambers. She knows that she requested these sub-committees not to meet until the Rules Committee has had time to set out how those should be ran but with budget season coming right on our back door, she felt that they needed to get started with this one at least so she will help Ms. Fouce to prepare for that and they'll run it. And, in saying that, her intention is to have as much of the city's information in files in Google Drive and she is very willing to stay after to help them be able to understand how you can reach those files. There are a lot of files that she's been able to get. She emailed Mrs. Flores on Saturday and asked for statements from 2016 to date and she received them yesterday at 2:00 p.m. There's about almost 2,000 pages so it's a lot to go through. She's been going through those and so she's hoping that she will also have a little bit more information in those files. She's also going to try and put together a 101 Know How To Read The City Budget to help them see what they're looking at and understand, especially for those that are new. And then also, in front of them, you will have this paper that has the blue at the bottom. It's from the Board of Aviation. They are working on a solar project for the Marion Airport. It's going to be a significant savings to them once it gets up and here's just a brief summary that she asked them to provide us tonight so that they'll have an understanding of what this is. But they have asked that, at the bottom they'll see Kurt Snyder and Corey Harper would like to come on August 4th at 4:30 p.m. and give them a full idea of this project and give them a demonstration, well not a demonstration but present it to them, from the financing and everything that that encompasses. So, Mrs. Kiley and Mrs. Goodman, if they could prepare a special meeting notice for August 4th at 4:30 p.m. and then check and make sure that the Chambers are open for that night, she would appreciate it very much, Cain said.

Councilman Brad Luzadder said, Madam President, as she discussed that she has asked the Rules Committee in which to meet to go through the rules and to set up rules for the sub-committees. He spoke with Mr. Batchelor earlier this evening, they came up with Monday evening for a Rules Committee meeting. That meeting will happen at 5:00 p.m., if possible, the 2nd Floor Conference Room. If not, they would move here to the Chambers. But they'll be meeting to go through the rules and try and be as concise as possible for the Council. Mr. Batchelor and he figure they can nail that out pretty well. And then, on a side note Madam President, many people have found out that Mr. Stewart, Xen, had passed this past weekend. He did have the opportunity to speak to him. They had a great friendship. He taught him a lot and, in fact, he ran his brother's campaign when he was on the Council. But his viewing will be this Thursday from 12:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Mr. Stewart was one of our longest sitting Marion Board of Works members and also was on the Marion Redevelopment Commission for a number of years under the Mowery Administration. And so, we don't give everybody's information out but Mr. Stewart was one of our Champions of the Month and if they haven't had an opportunity yet, they did put out an interview that they did with him onto Facebook from the city, probably one of the most interesting 37 minutes he had an opportunity to sit through. And then his funeral service will be on Friday at 11:00 a.m. Both the viewing and the service will be held at College Wesleyan Church. And then he's excited to announce that for the 21st year, the Northern Gospel Singing Convention will be coming to Marion. They're continuing on this year and holding their convention in a shorter time frame from July 31st

2

and August 1st. There will be a Friday evening concert, a Saturday afternoon at 12:00 p.m. and then a Saturday evening performance at 4:00 p.m. And so, people are going to be coming into the community for this event and it will be held at Sunnycrest coming up the 31st and August 1st, Luzadder said. Councilman Don Batchelor told Luzadder, let's have our meeting here in the Council Chambers on Monday. Council attorney Martin Harker asked, and that's Monday the 27th? Luzadder told Harker, yes. Harker asked Mr. Luzadder if he would like him present for that and Luzadder said, please, if he would, if he's available. Harker said he is. Luzadder said he's sure they could use his legal expertise. Councilman Jim Brunner said he just wanted to back up some of the things that Mr. Luzadder said. Kevin Pearson had really helped Mr. Stewart toward the end of his life and he showed him some pictures that the family had already given Kevin. He's putting together an incredible montage and he hopes they get a chance to get over there for the viewing because there are some pictures that are just classic, time spent in Korea defending his country, some anecdotes of his life that he talks about, it's phenomenal. So, again, he agrees with what Brad said. This was a gentleman that meant so much to this community. If they have not heard, one of his goals was to live to be 90 years and he missed it by two hours. But, he lived 89 years and 364 days and 22 hours and he thinks he used every one of them to the fullest. So, they certainly send their condolences out and hope most of them can make it over there.

Councilwoman Robin Fouce said she had a couple reports. She spoke with Neil Jeffries regarding the Gardens of Serenity. Levi last reported that he had forwarded the necessary information to the Trustee's Office. The Trustees came back and asked for some additional information and so they are preparing for that and as well as they've done a lot of work. She would encourage citizens and especially people on the Council to just take a drive through. They have done some great improvements. They got a grater. They're even fixing the holes in the streets that were just so terrible and so they're working toward the goal. The support of the Trustee is still very much needed for them to sustain that over time, be adequately staffed, have the equipment, etc., and so they still are working on that. And he is still grateful. He asked her to let them know how grateful he is that they, as a Council, are supporting that effort. Secondly, she just wanted to reiterate the Budget Review Sub-Committee meeting on Thursday, the 23rd, at 7:00 p.m. Please review the information on Google prior to getting to that meeting. They will be better and more efficient if they come in with a greater idea of what they are looking at if they preview it first and study it as much as possible. So, she asks them to do that. She knows, in their spare time, they will accomplish that. Fouce asked Council President Cain, did she want to speak to Board of Works or would she like for her to? Cain told Fouce, go ahead. Fouce stated, just a brief summary. They had a very brief meeting. She had the pleasure of meeting on a Zoom Meeting with the Board of Works where they talked about the 911 Safety Restraint. They did postpone that because he's going to get additional information on child restraints in addition to the adult restraints and so he's going to bring that back and they'll rehear that. They paid bills and she believes that's all that was important there. Not that everything's not important but just worth us repeating. One more thing. In District 4 they have, at the Faulkner Center, a flower garden that was erected to honor Pearl Bassett and so if they know Pearl Bassett, she has been just a great jewel and asset to our community. She has lived longer than most of us probably will and they still want to honor her. She still has her faculties, she's still very excitable and they're trying to clean that garden up. So if anyone has any flower garden resources, please let her know. They'll be there tomorrow morning at 9:00 a.m. to ascertain what they need to do. They know they need some rocks. They may need additional flowers and that kind of thing so that they can honor Miss Pearl this summer while the weather is warm and while she's able to get out and see her garden that she loves dearly. So she just wanted to let them know what they're doing in District 4. Please feel free to call her with any ideas, input, or anything about that and hopefully they can all, they'll be able to include everyone in a day where even if they just drive by and give Miss Pearl an honor for what she has meant and been to this community. Just touch base with her on that, if they would, anyone. Councilman Don Batchelor asked Councilwoman Fouce, can she elaborate on the 911 Restraints? What's that all about? Fouce told Batchelor, yes sir, give her just a quick moment. It's just a policy for the Fire Department. Actually she can share this copy that she has. It's for vehicle safety belts. Section 91.1 is Purpose and Scope. It's to ensure all members of the department wear safety belts while operating or riding in a department vehicle, etc. They talk about the policy and it is a policy of the department that that happen. It talks about inoperable safety belts. No person shall operate the vehicle without an operable safety belt so it reverts back to under no exceptions should any Fire Department vehicle be operated without safety belts. So this did not include the children and so they just postponed it so when they consider it, they will consider at any point a child would be in a Fire Department vehicle, she doesn't know what would

arise where that would happen, but they wanted to cover that as well. So that's why it will be at the next, and pardon her, Linda Wilk, thank you for being here. Does she have anything to add to that, Fouce asked Wilk. Councilman Gary Fordyce said this is not a public hearing. Fouce replied, she knows but she (Wilk) was there. She's sorry for calling her on the spot like that. She told the Council if they need any additional information, please ask her and she'll find that out for them.

Council President Deb Cain said that Code Enforcement (committee) did meet. They spoke, they talked about their concerns of are the codes being enforced, especially with the rentals, and the registration. And then the blight, how is that department following the codes, she means the enforcement that is in our ordinances that we put into place. So, there's going to be further discussion on that as we make communication and have time to get some answers to questions that they had.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS

COUNCIL APPOINTMENT – Marion Utility Service Board – Council President Deb Cain told the Council they had one application submitted to the Council before the agenda deadline. That was for Mr. Charles Moon and she spoke with him and she thinks he said that he needed take off. He is already an appointee to the Zoning Board and so they cannot appoint him to a second board. So they will open it again to prepare notice for applications from a Republican to fulfill that spot to make sure that they keep it bipartisan appointees. She thinks the deadline is Wednesday, the 29th? Is that right? Deputy Clerk Debbie Goodman said it sounds right, she doesn't have a calendar in front of her. Cain said, okay, and this person needs to live in the City of Marion and also work in Grant County so that they understand the full scope of the utilities because that is a significant part of our city and they need to understand all the scope of that. Councilman Gary Fordyce asked, they have to work in the city limits also? Cain told Fordyce, in the county so they have that understanding of... Fordyce said, they have to work within Grant County and live in the City of Marion. Cain replied, right, yes. Fordyce asked, is that stipulated on the application form? City Clerk Kathleen Kiley told Mr. Fordyce they don't have specific applications for specific boards. It's just their name and they fill in the board that they are interested in and give a description of their resume and why they'd like to be on the board. They don't have questionnaires for each and every appointment. Cain said, but it'll be on the notice.

DISCUSSION WITH CITY ADMINISTRATION – LAWSUIT FUNDING – Council President Deb Cain told the Council, you were sent the letter that we responded to the Administration on their decline (inaudible) subpoena, asking for their attendance tonight. That is stated in the letter which you also along with the email that she put in front of you. That was from Mr. Hunt, explaining the reasons why they couldn't be here. So she will take any thoughts or questions. Councilman Jim Brunner said, just a question, just a point of order so the Council fully understands this and the media fully understands this. He does take it that this is telling us they will be here on August 4th, correct? Cain said, Mr. Harker, she'll let him respond to that. Council attorney Martin Harker told Brunner he has yet to receive a response from that email indicating otherwise but, based on the prior communication, he's fully expecting them to show up on the 4th. They've indicated that at least once but as they can tell by the correspondence, we want the Mayor to discuss other things too. Councilman Gary Fordyce asked Mr. Harker, since he did legally subpoena them, signed subpoenas, and he re-subpoenaed them, unsigned, for August 4th, does the first subpoena die, is null and void since he has re-subpoenaed them? Harker replied, not necessarily. Fordyce asked, so it continues? So it's a breach of the subpoena? Harker told Fordyce, it's at the discretion of the Council to release the Administration from that. They have given a reason why they couldn't be here so if the Council chooses to enforce it or to seek further action, it's going to be up to the Council if and when they choose to do that. Mr. Fordyce said, that's the way he took that since he re-subpoenaed them, that we let the first subpoena die because he has re-subpoenaed them for August 4th. Correct? Harker told Fordyce, no, no that's not correct. That subpoena could still be enforced. Fordyce said, but it was for today. Harker said, and for the 4th, yes. Fordyce asked, was there another subpoena sent for the 4th? Unsigned? Harker answered, unsigned, correct, so it hasn't been served. He was trying to avoid that yet again the second time. Fordyce asked, so did we need to serve them another subpoena since the first subpoena was for tonight and August 4th? Harker responded, he would hope not. That's why he phrased it that way, just asking the Administration for an

acknowledgement that we don't have to do that and he didn't get that. He sort of got it in the email but not quite enough so he was trying to get clarification on that because it's unnecessary. Mr. Fordyce asked Harker, so if he doesn't get clarification from the Administration for August 4th, before August 4th, he's going to resubmit a signed subpoena that he's already drafted? Mr. Harker said, he could. Mrs. Cain and he will have to discuss whether that's necessary. At this point, he doesn't think it is. It's certainly going to be cleaner to do it that way, just to make sure. But, at this point, he thinks we received the feedback. Unless he hears that they're going to challenge the subpoena authority, he's going to assume that they're going to show up. Councilman Brad Luzadder said, President Cain, by the letter that we sent to the Administration, on the second page, with regards to the subpoena, your position on attendance to the July 21st is acceptable under the following conditions, and they gave five conditions in which we would sort of not push tonight's subpoena. So he thinks we have definitely put out the olive branch in good faith and he thinks that we should stay as judicious as we can and stay aboveboard as much as possible, being that we do have the authority in which to subpoena. So, he would say to the Council that hopefully they come prepared for the 4th in order to answer the questions that we have set forth. He just wanted to say he thought it was a very nice letter. He thinks it was nicer than what he would have expected. So, very, very pleased that we're moving in the right direction. Councilman Don Batchelor said, so, his concern is, we said, suggested, recommended that we would have two meetings so the second meeting would occur the last meeting of August. Is that correct? Mrs. Cain told Batchelor, no, our first subpoena was for tonight and August 4th but we expected them to be at both meetings. The last one, for July 21st, was the meeting that we requested them to be and then we put in August 4th because they indicated there was staff that was not going to be here. They were going to be on vacation so we also included August 4th as a continuing discussion meeting and also allow that person who was going to be gone to be here. So it was for the July and August, it's not two meetings after. So August 4th is the date. Mr. Batchelor said, so what he's hearing is the August 4th meeting, all the information that we will have, it'll be at just that one meeting and we won't have a follow up meeting. Cain told Batchelor, well, if we need it then we can ask them to attend. Mr. Batchelor stated, and the reason why he's leaning this way is the budget hearings, when will they start being scheduled? Mrs. Cain stated, okay, what she also submitted in that letter and she gave them a copy, it's in front of them, is that we have the judicial right to be able to put a budget calendar together and so that was one of the stipulations that we put in the letter, that they accept our budget calendar. So, that is in front of them. She set the budget hearings with the departments and she laid out the departments that we will have. And she moved it to five nights instead of the three nights where we tried to cram everything in in one night and it was, it was just a lot of information to pull in and to be able to put together so she stretched it out. Some of those nights won't take as long. When we met the last four years and put everything in the three nights, there were some nights that we were here until 10:00 p.m. and so she's hoping that doing this and placing them, she looked at each year and noted which departments took the longest and put them on separate nights and the shortest, she kind of like put them with, you know, on different nights so that hopefully it equals out the nights. So those would be August 17th, the last one ending August 27th. She spoke with what's called a Field Representative and that's for Grant County with the DGLF and he walked her through when the budget had to be submitted to Gateway and when would be the appropriate time to have our last City Council meeting, just in case in that 3rd hearing that we needed, that we were still asking for any changes or still asking for the Administration to accept any changes that we might have or we accept their budget. So, she put in there the opportunity to have three budget hearings where in the past four years, the budget has come to us so close to when they needed to do it that we heard the departments the week before we heard the first reading and two weeks later, we had the public hearing and we had to adopt it at the same time, which left no room for discussion or any open dialogue. So, she put in that budget calendar the opportunity to have three separate hearings which are two weeks apart which that also allows us also a lot more room to be able to work the budget. So, saying that, she's hoping, our goal, that was one of the things that we put in the letter. Mr. Luzadder, while he has that letter up there in front of him, will he read those five stipulations please? Mr. Luzadder told Cain, yes ma'am, give him one second. Councilman Brian Cowgill said, while he is doing that, what was the date that the budget has to be turned in by? Cain told Cowgill, November 2nd, and as you know, the third reading is October 20th. Councilman Luzadder read, number one is confirmation of attendance of yourself, the Mayor, the Controller and the Chief of Staff on August 4th, 2020 to discuss the financial matters of the YMCA Lawsuit; number two, acknowledgement of the valid subpoena authority of the Council to require the Mayor, department heads, and other employees of the city to attend Council meetings; three, to discuss with the Council at August 4th, 2020 meeting about a regular schedule of appearances for the Mayor and the

Chief of Staff at Council meetings; four, to discuss with the Council at August 4th, 2020 meeting about a quarterly schedule of appearances for department heads at the Council meetings; and number five, discussion at the August 4th, 2020 meeting about the upcoming budget calendar. Council President Cain said, thank you. So that's what will be discussed on August 4th. She will have a resolution because it is a resolution, right, that we have to pass for the budget calendar, Mr. Harker? Mr. Harker told Cain, yes, you can pass resolutions on both the budget calendar and also you can pass resolutions on the appearances, the schedule for both the Mayor and Chief of Staff and also for the department heads. Cain said, thank you. So, she'll work with Mr. Harker and they'll get those prepared. Councilwoman Robin Fouce said, Madam President, so if she's understanding this correctly, today we sit here, having subpoenaed the Administration and that subpoena was adhered to or ignored. She'll ask him, Attorney Harker. Mr. Harker told Fouce probably adhered to. There were vacation schedules. He's not saying there weren't other arrangements available. For example, Mr. Hunt could have called in Zoom. He could have contacted Ice Miller and had another attorney fill in this evening. But, he understands his desire to be here when the Mayor and when the Controller and Chief of Staff are questioned. It would have been nice to know that it was his (Hunt) vacation schedule that was holding things up in the first place but he did not give him that courtesy. So, you're correct. We sit here today, without them here, to the extent that they haven't complied. His recommendation would be against trying to enforce it. A Court's not going to, in his opinion, take much action when there's vacations scheduled. So, fine, he tried to solve the problem in a different way by simply requesting all the vacation schedules in advance so that we don't have this again. In his opinion, it was more than preventable and they didn't want to prevent it so they did not. That's fine. Ms. Fouce stated, well certainly she believes all of our genuine intent here on the Council is to work cohesively with the Administration. However, she doesn't feel, and she actually knows, to date that has not been reciprocated and so she's sad to say that. You know, she's not going to beat a dead horse. They're not here, sounds like we couldn't make them be here because she doesn't know when a subpoena gives you an option that doesn't have a consequence. She doesn't want to get the Mayor or get anyone in the Administration, that's not what she's saying. But once again, we are another week, month out so we're two months down the road, two and a half months down the road without the information that we need. And so, that would be the only reason that we went to the extent of a subpoena. And so, she'll leave it there but she's very disappointed. She doesn't take lightly her responsibility and what's for the constituents of this community. You know, where do we start, where do we start to grow? Where do we start to put the citizens first? Who takes the lead on that? She believes we here are trying to represent the citizens at best without the information that we need to have full disclosure and transparency. You know, where do we start? If we don't even get the respect of, really, she should be able to ask that of you and you should respond accordingly. But she went to the extremity, and she being the Council, went to the extremity of a subpoena and it was totally ignored with no consequence. She's at pause, to be quite honest, Fouce said. Councilman Cowgill told Cain, on the budget hearing calendar that she's turned in, is there a time that they're going to let us know whether that's good for them or not so that we can plan accordingly too or just how does that work? Mr. Harker told Cowgill he has still yet to receive a response and would like to because they're presenting information that's important. He hopes that we would get a response to the first offer and not have to take any further official action. Time will tell. Councilman Batchelor said he guessed his take is the information that we requested going back about two months ago, was the information that we requested, was it that difficult that two months later we still have not got the information? Our inquiry, the information that we inquired about, was it that complicated that in a two months period, we're still at phase one? He guessed that's his concern. Was it an unreasonable request that we made? He doesn't know. Mr. Harker told Batchelor, no, he doesn't believe so. He doesn't believe the requests were unreasonable. The second round of information that was requested was provided very timely and then more information that Mrs. Cain has requested since the second request has been provided in an even more timely fashion. So he feels like there is progress that has been made in that regard. It's not instantaneous but the Council has received quite a bit of information recently and he takes that as a sign of progress. Mr. Cowgill said, he agrees. He wants to back Ms. Fouce up 100% too. He just, you know, as far as the communication part, he's willing to and he's sure every one of his other Councilmen and Councilwomen are the same way, as far as the Mayor saying that we don't stop by his office. He just wants to remind everybody his office has been closed since March so it's kind of hard to stop by. Mrs. Cain said, Mr. Harker, since we're anticipating them to be here on August 4th, there are questions that we had discussed to ask. There are some questions that are still out there that haven't been answered because we need them here to answer those questions. But give them a little guideline, if he will, about what questions we need to stick to because

6

there's still a case in litigation? Mr. Harker replied, sure. Consistent with Mr. Hunt's email, he's correct about not wanting to divulge strategy about, first and foremost, what's going on with the case. Unfortunately, he thinks that could also include plans going forward. They're not going to be able to tell even how long they expect the case to go. And as an attorney, their prediction is not going to be as accurate as anybody would like because he doesn't think that when they filed this lawsuit in late 2016, they anticipated that it would be all the way up to the Supreme Court by now. So, he doesn't think it would be appropriate to ask even how long they anticipate this going on. So, he thinks first and foremost the strategy should be kept out, including the time frame of how long the case is going to go on. So, that gets us back to the main aspect of the funding, which is where the money came from, which funds was it taken out of, what projects were shelved as a result of the decisions that were made and the decisions that were made on discretionary spending. That's where this category falls and so any of the funds that have been named so far, the Council could ask what else is paid out of those funds, what else could have been done, what wasn't done? Those types of questions are certainly going to be fair game, along with just overall questions about the departments, for example, are going to get money appropriations from different funds. They might want to inquire which departments get money out of each fund to try to specify how this has been pieced together. He thinks that appears to be one of the questions that the Council has for the Administration. So, he thinks that that is certainly appropriate to ask, Harker said. Councilwoman Fouce said, so, if she's hearing this right, we're still have something that we don't, we're not able to contain the financial expenditure of. We have no idea, we have no generalization on what moving forward is going to cost the city. Harker told Fouce, it appears that way. The funding aspect is where the authority of the Council lies so tailoring the funding is what money goes into the funds is within the Council's purview. But as far as projecting and managing that lawsuit, that is clearly within the Mayor's province, Harker said.

Council President Deb Cain told the Council, before she takes a motion to adjourn, she has put a wealth of information also in Google Drive on the Tree Board that other cities have, their ordinances and how they set them up and how they can be set up. She doesn't know how many remember that we used to have a Tree Board for Marion. That's not been active for a long, long time and with the most recent tragedy in the city because of trees and blocked intersections, she thinks it's really prudent if we start looking at putting together a board again. There are appointees from the Mayor and there are appointees from the City Council. And so, she put all that in and she thinks it would be a very excellent idea to take some time to look into that information. She'll have a little bit more information to give to everyone as she looks at the stuff. And so she'll put it more together in a better format to where everyone can have (inaudible). Councilman Jim Brunner told Cain he'd like to follow up on her statement. He's had a number of phone calls recently after this has happened. Trees are growing, he doesn't know how the trees are growing as wild as they are and the grass doesn't but he guessed, he doesn't understand horticulture, but he's had a lot of people call him after that accident that all of the sudden they're coming up on an intersection, it happened to him today. So he would certainly second her idea of doing that and he would be more than excited about helping serve on that because he thinks they know he gets around this community every day, a lot. So, if there's any way he can help her on that, let him know. He thinks that's a wonderful idea. Councilman Brad Luzadder said, Madam President, in addition to that, currently the Engineering Department has handled trees and Mr. Graft has an ordinance drafted that they have discussed for a number of years. But this Council has not seen fit in which to bring that ordinance forth. It does put responsibility back onto the homeowner. It's his understanding there are only two major thoroughfares in the city that the city is to maintain those trees, one being on Euclid with the boulevard and the other one has totally slipped his mind from the discussion he had with Mr. Graft. But he had mentioned the ordinance last year but wasn't sure of the environment to bring that forward. He would recommend that we reach out to Mike and then also the arborist that we employ for the city as well for that committee, especially since it does go back onto the backs of the citizens. He would like to have great discussion about that before we would ever do something, move in that direction. Councilman Steve Henderson said he believes that ordinance or the committee was in the '80s, if he remembers right. He went back and looked a long time ago about that. So, is that possible that she can get them all a copy of that so we can get familiar with that committee and what it says in there? Cain told Henderson, we'll try. Right Mrs. Kiley? Henderson said he doesn't remember the date it was but he knew it was in the '80s. Mr. Harker said it was 2002. Henderson said it started earlier than that but he thinks they revised it. Harker said, the version he's looking at shows something passed in 2002 and then amendments in 2004. Councilman Cowgill stated, that would be helpful because if it was in the '80s, he was quite a young boy, he

7

wouldn't have known. Harker commented, the fine has been updated. Cain told City Clerk Kathleen Kiley, when she's able to find it, at her convenience, if she would forward that to her, she would appreciate it and they'll move forward.

Being no further business to come before the Council, on a motion by Fordyce, 2nd by Cowgill, the meeting adjourned. Time being 7:52 p.m.