### WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 Weld County, Colorado

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2016





### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	PAGE
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	
BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Government-wide Financial Statements: Statement of Net Position Statement of Activities	
Fund Financial Statements:  Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds  Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances -	3
Governmental Funds	
in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities  General Fund - Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual	
Notes to Financial Statements	7
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	19
Debt Service Fund - Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Budget and Actual	20
Schedule of Debt Service Requirements to Maturity	21
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE ANNUAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION AS REQUIRED BY THE GENERAL OBLIGATION REFUNDING BONDS, SERIES 2016	22
Table II	
Table III, IV, and V	
Table VIIITable IX	
Table X	
	20



### **Independent Auditor's Report**

Members of the Board of Directors Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and the major funds of Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2 as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities and the major funds of Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2, as of December 31, 2016 and the respective changes in financial position and the budgetary comparison for the General Fund for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.



#### **Other-Matters**

### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that management's discussion and analysis, as listed in the table of contents, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. This information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2's financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information section is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Littleton, Colorado July 11, 2017

Hayrie & 6

This section of the annual financial report offers readers of the Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2's ("District") financial statements its management's discussion and analysis of the District's financial performance during the year ended December 31, 2016. We encourage readers to consider the information presented here in conjunction with additional information furnished in the District's financial statements, which immediately follow this section.

### **Background Information**

The District, a quasi-municipal corporation, was formed November 23, 1994, and is governed pursuant to provisions of the Colorado Special District Act. The original consolidated service plan between the District and Poudre Tech Metropolitan District was approved on September 22, 1994, the first modification was approved on March 27, 1995, and the second modification was approved on September 27, 1999. The District's service area is located in Windsor, Colorado.

### **Financial Highlights**

- The liabilities and deferred inflows of resources of the District exceeded its assets at the close of 2016 by \$7.8 million (net deficit). This deficit/negative unrestricted net position is mainly due to the District being responsible for the payment of debt obtained for the construction of public improvements owned or to be owned by Poudre Tech Metropolitan District, and public improvements conveyed or to be conveyed to other governmental entities.
- During 2016, general revenues totaled \$3.6 million and accounted for 100% of all revenues; the District had no program specific revenues in the form of charges for services or operating/capital grants and contributions.
- During 2016, property taxes represent the majority of revenue sources for the District and increased by \$2.5 million, a 318% increase over the prior year. The increase in the assessed valuation of properties in the District from \$20,326,230 (2015 tax collection) to \$84,359,680 (2016 tax collection) contributed to this significant increase in property taxes. The increase in assessed valuation was attributable to oil and gas.
- During 2016, the District had \$3.3 million in expenditures related to governmental activities, of which \$2.7 million represents transfers to Poudre Tech Metropolitan District pursuant to a Capital Pledge Agreement and an Amended/Restated Facilities Construction and Service Agreement (see Note 7). None of the District's expenditures were offset by program specific charges for services and operating/capital grants and contributions.
- The District has no capital assets. Pursuant to the Amended/Restated Facilities Construction and Service Agreement, all public improvements constructed within the District will be owned and operated by Poudre Tech Metropolitan District.

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

This annual financial report consists of two parts: management's discussion and analysis and the basic financial statements. The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements that present different views of the District.

- The first two statements are government-wide financial statements that provide both short-term and long-term information about the District's overall financial status.
- The remaining statements are fund financial statements that focus on individual parts of the District, reporting the District's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements. The governmental funds statements reflect how basic services were financed in the short-term as well as what remains for future spending.

The financial statements also include notes that explain some of the information in the statements and required and other supplementary information which provide more detailed data. See below for a summary of the major features of the Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements.

### Major Features of the Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

	Government-wide Financial Statements	Fund Financial Statements Governmental Funds				
Scope	Entire District	The activities of the District that are not proprietary				
Required Financial Statements	Statement of Net Position Statement of Activities	Balance Sheet  Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances				
Accounting basis and measurement focus	Accrual accounting and economic resources focus	Modified accrual accounting and current financial focus				
Type of asset/liability information	All assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources, both financial and capital, short-term and long-term	Generally assets and deferred outflows of resources expected to be used up and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources that come due during the year or soon thereafter; no capital assets or long-term liabilities included				
Type of inflow/outflow information	All revenues and expenses during year, regardless of when cash is received or paid	Revenues for which cash is received during or soon after the end of the year; expenditures when goods or services have been received and the related liability is due and payable				

#### Government-wide Statements

The government-wide statements are designed to provide readers a broad overview of the Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2 finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business. The statement of net position includes all of the District's assets, deferred outflows of resources, liabilities, and deferred inflows of resources. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are accounted for in the statement of activities regardless of when cash is received or paid. These statements provide both short-term and long-term information about the District's overall financial status.

The statement of net position presents information on all of the District's assets and liabilities, as well as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources. The difference between assets plus deferred outflows of resources and liabilities plus deferred inflows of resources is reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2 is improving or deteriorating.

The statement of activities presents information showing how the District's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flow in future fiscal periods.

Both of the government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the District that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (*governmental activities*). Included in governmental activities are most of the District's basic services. The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 1-2 of this report.

### Fund Financial Statements

The fund financial statements provide more detailed information about the District's funds. Funds are accounting devices the District uses to keep track of specific sources of funding and spending on particular programs. The District has only one fund category type, governmental fund types.

Governmental funds: All of the District's basic services are included in governmental funds, which generally focus on (1) inflows and outflows of cash and other financial assets and (2) balances remaining at year-end which are available for spending. Consequently, the governmental funds statements provide a detailed short-term view that helps determine financial resources that may be available in the near term to finance the District's programs. Because this information does not encompass the long-term focus of the government-wide statements, a reconciling schedule is included on the governmental funds statements explaining the relationship (or difference) between them.

Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2 maintains two governmental funds. Information is presented separately in the governmental fund balance sheet and in the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances, found on pages 3-5 of this report. Budgetary financial statements for the General Fund can be found on page 6 of this report.

#### Notes to the Financial Statements

The notes provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statement can be found on pages 7-18 of this report.

### Other Information

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents certain required and other supplementary information. This includes the budgetary financial schedule for the Debt Service Fund (page 20), Schedule of Debt Service Requirements to Maturity (page 21), and a Summary of Assessed Valuation, Mill Levy and Property Taxes Collected (page 22).

### Financial Analysis of the District as a Whole

### Net Position and Changes in Net Position

Table 1 provides a summary of the District's net position at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Table 1
Condensed Statement of Net Position

	12/31/2016	12/31/2015		
Assets				
Current assets	\$ 3,933,233	\$ 3,600,123		
Total assets	3,933,233	3,600,123		
Liabilities				
Current liabilities	125,975	724,078		
Long-term liabilities	8,397,194	7,690,000		
Total liabilities	8,523,169	8,414,078		
Deferred Inflows of Resources	3,171,061	3,290,028		
Net position				
Restricted	227,284	-		
Unrestricted	(7,988,281)	(8,103,983)		
Total net position	\$ (7,760,997)	\$ (8,103,983)		

Table 2 provides a summary of the changes in net position.

Table 2
Changes in Net Position from Operating Results

	12/31/2016		 12/31/2015
Revenues			
Program revenues			
Charges for services	\$	-	\$ -
Operating grants and contributions		-	-
Capital grants and contributions		-	-
General revenues			
Taxes		3,489,817	841,251
Interest income		4,290	1,182
Other		105,791	95,191
Total revenues		3,599,898	937,624
Expenses			
General government		20,264	-
Transfer to Poudre Tech Metro District - operations and capital		1,516,639	2,344,223
Transfer to Poudre Tech Metro District - Series 2010 Bonds		1,176,329	687,234
Interest and related costs on long-term debt		543,680	316,369
Total expenses		3,256,912	 3,347,826
Change in Net Position	\$	342,986	\$ (2,410,202)

Property and specific ownership taxes account for most of the District's revenues. The District's expenditures primarily relate to its obligation to Poudre Tech Metropolitan District pursuant to a Capital Pledge Agreement (to pay debt service of 2010 Bonds issued by Poudre Tech Metropolitan District) and an Amended/Restated Facilities Construction and Service Agreement (to construct public improvements benefitting the District).

### Governmental Activities

The change in net position for governmental activities was \$342,986 in 2016.

The statement of activities shows the cost of program services and the related charges for services and grant offsetting those costs. Table 3 reflects each program's total cost and net cost of services. That is, it identifies the cost of these services supported by unrestricted property taxes.

Table 3

Net Cost of Governmental Activities

	otal Cost f Services	Net Cost of Services		
General government Intergovernmental Interest and related costs on long-term debt	\$ 20,264 2,692,968 543,680	\$	(20,264) (2,692,968) (543,680)	
Total	\$ 3,256,912	\$	(3,256,912)	

### **Financial Analysis of District's Funds**

### General Fund

The General Fund provides for general operating costs of the District. The primary funding source for the General Fund is property taxes.

As of December 31, 2016, the District has not provided for an emergency reserve fund equal to at least 3% of the fiscal year spending, as defined under TABOR, because all revenues in the General Fund are intended to be and are being transferred to Poudre Tech Metropolitan District, Operating District, which provides for the required reserve amount. However, the District has committed to maintain a reasonable level of unrestricted fund balance in the General Fund to mitigate temporary revenue shortfalls or unplanned one-time expenditures that may occur in the future.

### **Budgetary Highlights**

The District's budget is prepared according to Colorado law and is based on accounting for certain transaction on a basis of cash receipts and disbursements.

### **Debt Administration**

### Long-Term Debt

On July 8, 2016, the District issued \$8,125,000 in General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016 (Bonds) to prepay the Loan at First Western Trust Bank. The Bonds are general obligations of the District secured and payable from Pledged Revenue which include Property Tax Revenue, net of costs of collection, and any other legally available amounts.

### Table 4 Outstanding Long-Term Debt

	2016	2015	% of Change 2015-2016
Government Activities:			
2014 General Obligation Loan	\$ -	\$ 8,240,000	
General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016	8,125,000	 	
Total	\$ 8,125,000	\$ 8,240,000	-1.40%

### **Economic Factors and Next Year's Budget**

- The District is a mixed-use community planned to consist of 1,074 single-family detached homes, 164 single-family attached homes (townhomes), and 370 multi-family units (condominiums and apartments). The District also includes approximately 101 acres zoned for commercial/office/retail uses. The development of the District is being undertaken by Trollco, Inc., a Colorado corporation. Trollco or a related entity currently owns all the remaining developable property within the District. With respect to residential development in the District, of the planned 1,074 single-family detached homes, approximately 750 have been constructed and new construction continues at a robust pace. Of the planned 164 single-family attached homes (townhomes), 103 have Of the planned 370 multi-family units (condominiums and been constructed. apartments), 56 condominium units have been constructed and construction has begun on the remaining 314 for rent apartment units. With respect to commercial development in the District, of the 101 acres zoned for commercial/office/retail uses, approximately 21 acres have been developed into a total of approximately 272,573 square feet of commercial/office/retail space.
- The District is in the middle of Northern Colorado, an area that is continuing to enjoy a robust and growing regional economy and an associated material increase in property values. As the regional economy continues to grow, so too should the values of the residential and commercial real estate within the District. The Weld County assessor is currently undertaking its biannual reassessment of all real estate in 2017, therefore, the District is anticipating a material increase in assessed valuation for tax collection in 2018. As a point of reference, the Certified Assessed Value for real estate within the District grew 11.33% from 2015 (tax collection in 2016) to 2016 (tax collection in 2017) (\$25,842,840 to \$28,771,350). At the District's current mill levy of 39 mills, this increase in value will result in approximately \$114,212 of additional revenue to the District in 2017.
- The financial impact of ad valorem taxes on oil and gas produced from wells within the District is very significant. The total Certified Assessed Value of oil and gas production within the District for 2016 (tax collection in 2017) decreased 10.22% from 2015 (tax collection in 2016) values, going from \$58,516,120 to \$52,537,150. At the District's current mill levy of 39 mills, this decrease in assessed value from oil and gas will result in the loss of approximately \$233,180 of tax revenue to the District in 2017. Total

revenue from oil and gas taxes in 2016 and anticipated taxes for 2017 are \$2,282,129 and \$2,048,949 respectively. After 2017, revenues from oil and gas taxes are anticipated to continue to decline over time as production from the existing wells declines. The actual financial impact to the District will be predicated on the price of oil and gas over time and the actual amount of oil and gas produced from each well; however, taxes on oil and gas production will continue to have a positive financial impact on the District for the foreseeable future.

 Based on the preceding, the District believes it is well situated financially both in the short and long terms and that no additional increase in mill levies will be required to meet the District's debt service or operating obligations.

### **Contacting the District's Financial Management Team**

This financial report is designed to provide the District's citizens, taxpayers, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact:

Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2 1625 Pelican Lakes Point, Suite 201 Windsor, Colorado 80550



## WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF NET POSITION December 31, 2016

	Governmental Activities
ASSETS	
Cash and investments	\$ 150,000
Cash and investments - Restricted	596,747
Receivable - County Treasurer	15,425
Property taxes receivable	3,171,061
Total assets	3,933,233
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	7,667
Accrued interest payable - Bonds	28,308
Noncurrent liabilities	
Due within one year	90,000
Due in more than one year	8,397,194
Total liabilities	8,523,169
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Property tax revenue	3,171,061_
Total deferred inflows of resources	3,171,061
NET POSITION	
Restricted for:	
Debt service	227,268
Capital projects (PTMD)	16
Unrestricted	(7,988,281)
Total net position	\$ (7,760,997)

## WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES Year Ended December 31, 2016

Net (Expense)

		Program Revenues							Revenue and Changes in Net Position		
Functions/Programs	<u>E</u>	xpenses	Char fo Serv	r	Gran	rating ts and butions	Gran	pital ts and butions		vernmental Activities	
Primary government: Government activities: General government Intergovernmental - Transfers to PTMD - for operations and capital costs Intergovernmental - Transfers to PTMD - for debt service Interest and related costs on long-term debt	\$	20,264 1,516,639 1,176,329 543,680 3,256,912	\$ -	- - - - -	\$	- - - -	\$ -	- - - -		(20,264) (1,516,639) (1,176,329) (543,680) (3,256,912)	
	P S P In	neral revenue roperty taxes pecific owne ayment in lie aterest incom Total gene Change in position - Be position - Er	s rship taxe u of taxes e eral revenu net positi eginning	ies						3,294,992 194,825 105,791 4,290 3,599,898 342,986 (8,103,983) (7,760,997)	

# WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS December 31, 2016

	General	Debt Service	Total Governmental Funds
First Western Trust Bank - Tax Receipts Account First Western Trust Bank - Loan Fund UMB Debt Service Reserve Fund - Series 2016 UMB Bond Fund - Series 2016 Receivable - County Treasurer Property taxes receivable  TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 150,000 - - - - 6,318 1,699,933 \$ 1,856,251	\$ 245,891 62,690 288,127 39 9,107 1,471,128 \$ 2,076,982	\$ 395,891 62,690 288,127 39 15,425 3,171,061 \$ 3,933,233
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES			
LIABILITIES Accounts payable Total liabilities  DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ 7,667 7,667	\$ 7,667 7,667
Property tax revenue  Total deferred inflows of resources	1,699,933 1,699,933	1,471,128 1,471,128	3,171,061 3,171,061
FUND BALANCES  Restricted  Debt service  Capital projects (PTMD)  Committed  Operations (PTMD)  Total fund balances	156,318 156,318	543,164 55,023 - 598,187	543,164 55,023 156,318 754,505
TOTAL LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES	\$ 1,856,251	\$ 2,076,982	

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:

Long-term liabilities, including bonds payable, are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the funds.

Bonds payable (8,125,000)
Bond premium (362,194)
Accrued interest payable - Bonds (28,308)
Net position of governmental activities \$ (7,760,997)

# WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS Year Ended December 31, 2016

			Total
		Debt	Governmental
	General	Service	Funds
	_		
REVENUES			
Property taxes	\$ 1,349,679	\$ 1,945,313	\$ 3,294,992
Specific ownership taxes	79,803	115,022	194,825
Payment in lieu of taxes	105,791	-	105,791
Interest income	1,395	2,895	4,290
Total revenues	1,536,668	2,063,230	3,599,898
EXPENDITURES			
County Treasurer's fees	20,264	29,207	49,471
2016 G.O. Bonds interest	-	134,934	134,934
2014 G.O. Loan - interest	-	202,398	202,398
2014 G.O. Loan - principal	_	8,240,000	8,240,000
Cost of issuance	_	185,373	185,373
Miscellaneous	80	60	140
Transfers to PTMD - operations and capital costs	1,360,006	156,633	1,516,639
Transfers to PTMD - Series 2010 Bonds	-	1,176,329	1,176,329
Total expenditures	1,380,350	10,124,934	11,505,284
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER)	156,318	(8,061,704)	(7,905,386)
EXPENDITURES	- 7	(2,22,7,2,7)	( , = = = , = = = ,
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)			
Bond issuance	_	8,125,000	8,125,000
Bond premium	_	371,133	371,133
Total other financing sources (uses)		8,496,133	8,496,133
Total other linariting sources (uses)	<del>-</del>	0,490,133	6,490,133
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	156,318	434,429	590,747
FUND BALANCES - BEGINNING OF YEAR		163,758	163,758
FUND BALANCES - END OF YEAR	\$ 156,318	\$ 598,187	\$ 754,505

# WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUND TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES Year Ended December 31, 2016

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:

Change in net position of governmental activities

Net change in fund balance - Total governmental fund	\$ 590,747
The issuance of long-term debt (e.g., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also, governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. The net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items is as follows:	
2016 G.O. Bonds - proceeds	(8,125,000)
2016 G.O. Bonds - premium	(371,133)
2014 G.O. Loan - principal payment	8,240,000
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	
Accrued interest on Loan - Change in liability	27,741
Accrued interest on Bonds - Change in liability	(28,308)
Amortization of bond premium	8,939

\$ 342,986

### WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 GENERAL FUND

### STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

Year Ended December 31, 2016

		Amounts	Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive	
	Original	Budget	Amounts	(Negative)	
REVENUES					
Property taxes	\$ 1,347,646	\$ 1,347,646	\$ 1,349,679	\$ 2,033	
Specific ownership taxes	107,812	69,461	79,803	10,342	
Payment in lieu of taxes	105,791	105,791	105,791	-	
Interest income	400	900	1,395	495	
Cost reimbursement	-	650,000	-	(650,000)	
Total revenues	1,561,649	2,173,798	1,536,668	(637,130)	
EXPENDITURES					
County Treasurer's fees	20,215	20,215	20,264	(49)	
Miscellaneous	-	80	80	-	
Transfers to PTMD - funding for operations	1,532,485	2,003,503	1,360,006	643,497	
Total expenditures	1,552,700	2,023,798	1,380,350	643,448	
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	8,949	150,000	156,318	6,318	
FUND BALANCES - BEGINNING OF YEAR					
FUND BALANCES - END OF YEAR	\$ 8,949	\$ 150,000	\$ 156,318	\$ 6,318	

### **NOTE 1 - DEFINITION OF REPORTING ENTITY**

Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 2 (District), a quasi-municipal corporation and a political subdivision of the State of Colorado, was organized by order and decree of the District Court for the Town of Windsor, Weld County, Colorado, in 1994, and is governed pursuant to provisions of the Colorado Special District Act (Title 32, Article 1, Colorado Revised Statutes).

The District was organized to provide water and wastewater services, street construction, installation of safety control devices and construction and maintenance of parks and recreation facilities. The District is a Financing District organized in conjunction with two other related Districts — Poudre Tech Metropolitan District and Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 1. Poudre Tech Metropolitan District serves as the Operating District which is responsible for managing the construction and operation of facilities and improvements needed for Water Valley Metropolitan Districts Nos. 1 and 2 (Financing Districts) which are responsible for providing the tax base needed to support financing of capital improvements.

The District follows the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) accounting pronouncements which provide guidance for determining which governmental activities, organizations and functions should be included within the financial reporting entity. GASB pronouncements set forth the financial accountability of a governmental organization's elected governing body as the basic criterion for including a possible component governmental organization in a primary government's legal entity. Financial accountability includes, but is not limited to, appointment of a voting majority of the organization's governing body, ability to impose its will on the organization, a potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits or burdens, and fiscal dependency.

The District is not financially accountable for any other organization, nor is the District a component unit of any other primary governmental entity.

The District has no employees and all operations and administrative functions are contracted.

#### **NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The more significant accounting policies of the District are described as follows:

### **Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements include the statement of net position and the statement of activities. These financial statements include all of the activities of the District. The effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues.

The statement of net position reports all financial and capital resources of the District. The difference between the sum of assets and deferred outflows and the sum of liabilities and deferred inflows is reported as net position.

### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct and indirect expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include: 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment, and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements.

### Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the government considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period. The major sources of revenue susceptible to accrual are property taxes. All other revenue items are considered to be measurable and available only when cash is received by the District. Expenditures, other than interest on long-term obligations, are recorded when the liability is incurred or the long-term obligation is due.

The District reports the following major governmental funds:

The General Fund is the District's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources of the general government, except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The Debt Service Fund accounts for the resources accumulated and payments made for principal, interest, and other related costs of debts issued by the District and Poudre Tech Metropolitan District (Operating District). Currently, it also accounts for draws from the 2014 General Obligation Loan with First Western Trust Bank, which funds are transferred to the Operating District to pay for costs of public improvements benefitting the District.

#### **Budgets**

In accordance with the State Budget Law, the District's Board of Directors holds public hearings in the fall each year to approve the budget and appropriate the funds for the ensuing year. The appropriation is at the total fund expenditures and other financing uses level and lapses at year-end. The District's Board of Directors can modify the budget by line item within the total appropriation without notification. The appropriation can only be modified upon completion of notification and publication requirements. The budget includes each fund on its basis of accounting unless otherwise indicated.

The District has amended its annual budget for the year ended December 31, 2016.

### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Pooled Cash and Investments**

The District follows the practice of pooling cash and investments of all funds to maximize investment earnings. Except when required by trust or other agreements, all cash is deposited to and disbursed from a single bank account. Cash in excess of immediate operating requirements is pooled for deposit and investment flexibility. Investment earnings are allocated periodically to the participating funds based upon each fund's average equity balance in the total cash.

Investments are carried at fair value.

### **Property Taxes**

Property taxes are levied by the District's Board of Directors. The levy is based on assessed valuations determined by the County Assessor generally as of January 1 of each year. The levy is normally set by December 15 by certification to the County Commissioners to put the tax lien on the individual properties as of January 1 of the following year. The County Treasurer collects the determined taxes during the ensuing calendar year. The taxes are payable by April or if in equal installments, at the taxpayer's election, in February and June. Delinquent taxpayers are notified in August and, generally, sale of the tax liens on delinquent properties are held in November or December. The County Treasurer remits the taxes collected monthly to the District.

Property taxes, net of estimated uncollectible taxes, are recorded initially as deferred inflows of resources in the year they are levied and measurable. The unearned property tax revenue is recorded as revenue in the year they are available or collected.

#### **Amortization**

### **Original Issue Premium**

In the government-wide financial statements, bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the effective interest method.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds received, are reported as expenditures.

### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Deferred Inflows of Resources**

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The District has only one item that qualifies for reporting in this category. Accordingly, the item, deferred property tax revenue, is deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amount becomes available.

### **Equity**

#### Net Position

For government-wide presentation purposes, when both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's practice to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

In the government-wide financial statements, fund equity is classified as net position. Net position may be classified into three components: net investment in capital assets, restricted and unrestricted.

#### Fund Balance

Fund balance for governmental funds should be reported in classifications that comprise a hierarchy based on the extent to which the government is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which spending can occur. Governmental funds report up to five classifications of fund balance: nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned, and unassigned. Because circumstances differ among governments, not every government or every governmental fund will present all of these components. The following classifications describe the relative strength of the spending constraints:

- Nonspendable fund balance The portion of fund balance that cannot be spent because
  it is either not in spendable form (such as prepaid amounts or inventory) or legally or
  contractually required to be maintained intact.
- Restricted fund balance The portion of fund balance that is constrained to being used for a specific purpose by external parties (such as bondholders), constitutional provisions, or enabling legislation.
- Committed fund balance The portion of fund balance that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal action of the government's highest level of decision-making authority, the Board of Directors. The constraint may be removed or changed only through formal action of the Board of Directors.

### NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

- Assigned fund balance The portion of fund balance that is constrained by the
  government's intent to be used for specific purposes, but is neither restricted nor
  committed. Intent is expressed by the Board of Directors to be used for a specific
  purpose. Constraints imposed on the use of assigned amounts are more easily removed
  or modified than those imposed on amounts that are classified as committed.
- Unassigned fund balance The residual portion of fund balance that does not meet any of the criteria described above.

If more than one classification of fund balances are available for use when an expenditure is incurred, it is the District's practice to use the most restrictive classification first.

Cash and investments as of December 31, 2016, are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Statement of net position:

Cash and investments	\$ 150,000
Cash and investments – Restricted	 596,747
Total cash and investments	\$ 746,747

Cash and investments as of December 31, 2016, consist of the following:

Deposits with financial institutions	\$ 458,581
Investments	288,166
Total cash and investments	\$ 746,747

### **NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

### **Deposits with Financial Institutions**

The Colorado Public Deposit Protection Act (PDPA) requires that all units of local government deposit cash in eligible public depositories. Eligibility is determined by state regulators. Amounts on deposit in excess of federal insurance levels must be collateralized. The eligible collateral is determined by the PDPA. PDPA allows the institution to create a single collateral pool for all public funds. The pool for all the uninsured public deposits as a group is to be maintained by another institution or held in trust. The market value of the collateral must be at least 102% of the aggregate uninsured deposits.

The State Commissioners for banks and financial services are required by statute to monitor the naming of eligible depositories and reporting of the uninsured deposits and assets maintained in the collateral pools.

At December 31, 2016, the District's cash deposits had a bank and carrying balance of \$458,581.

### NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

### **Investments**

The District has not adopted a formal investment policy; however, the District follows state statutes regarding investments.

The District generally limits its concentration of investments to those noted with an asterisk (\*) below, which are believed to have minimal credit risk, minimal interest rate risk and no foreign currency risk. Additionally, the District is not subject to concentration risk or investment custodial risk disclosure requirements for investments that are in the possession of another party.

Colorado revised statutes limit investment maturities to five years or less unless formally approved by the Board of Directors. Such actions are generally associated with a debt service reserve or sinking fund requirements.

Colorado statutes specify investment instruments meeting defined rating and risk criteria in which local governments may invest which include:

- . Obligations of the United States, certain U.S. government agency securities and securities of the World Bank
- . General obligation and revenue bonds of U.S. local government entities
- . Certain certificates of participation
- . Certain securities lending agreements
- . Bankers' acceptances of certain banks
- . Commercial paper
- . Written repurchase agreements and certain reverse repurchase agreements collateralized by certain authorized securities
- . Certain money market funds
- . Guaranteed investment contracts
- \* Local government investment pools

### **Fair Value Measurement and Application**

The District categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; and Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Investments not measured at fair value and not categorized include governmental money market funds (PFM Funds Governmental Select series); money market funds (generally held by Bank Trust Departments in their role as paying agent or trustee); and CSAFE which record their investments at amortized cost.

### NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

The District has invested in COLOTRUST, an external investment pool that records its investments at fair value and measures fair value using Level 2 inputs.

Investment	<u>Maturity</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Colorado Local Government Liquid Asset Trust (COLOTRUST)	Weighted average under 60 days	<u>\$288,166</u>

### **COLOTRUST**

The monies in the 2016 Bond Debt Service Reserve Fund held by UMB Bank (Trustee) were invested in the Colorado Local Government Liquid Asset Trust (COLOTRUST) (the Trust), an investment vehicle established for local government entities in Colorado to pool surplus funds. The State Securities Commissioner administers and enforces all State statutes governing the Trust. The Trust operates similarly to a money market fund and each share is equal in value to \$1.00. The Trust offers shares in two portfolios, COLOTRUST PRIME and COLOTRUST PLUS+. Both portfolios may invest in U.S. Treasury securities and repurchase agreements collateralized by U.S. Treasury securities. COLOTRUST PLUS+ may also invest in certain obligations of U.S. government agencies, highest rated commercial paper and any security allowed under CRS 24-75-601. A designated custodial bank serves as custodian for the Trust's portfolios pursuant to a custodian agreement. The custodian acts as safekeeping agent for the Trust's investment portfolios and provides services as the depository in connection with direct investments and withdrawals. The custodian's internal records segregate investments owned by the Trust. COLOTRUST is rated AAAm by Standard & Poor's.

#### **NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS**

The following is an analysis of the changes in the District's long-term obligations for the year ended December 31, 2016:

	Balance at December 31, 2015	Additions	Payments	Balance at December 31, 2016	Due Within One Year
2014 General Obligation Loan General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016	\$ 8,240,000	\$ - 8,125,000	\$ 8,240,000	\$ - 8,125,000	90,000
Donus, Senes 2010	8,240,000	8,125,000	8,240,000	8,125,000	\$ 90,000
Series 2016 Bond Premium		371,133	8,939	362,194	<u> </u>
	\$ 8,240,000	\$ 8,496,133	\$ 8,248,939	\$ 8,487,194	

### NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

The details of the District's long-term obligations are as follows:

On January 31, 2014, the District entered into a loan agreement with First Western Trust Bank to obtain a loan in the aggregate original amount of up to \$8,240,000 (Loan). The maturity date of the Loan is January 31, 2021, with an interest rate of 4.04%, paid semiannually on June 1 and December 1. The proceeds of the initial draw from the Loan were used to finance certain public improvements, reimburse the Developer for prior advances related to public improvements constructed by the Developer on behalf of the District, and pay the costs of issuing the Loan.

On July 8, 2016, the District issued \$8,125,000 in General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016 (2016 Bonds) to prepay the Loan described above. The 2016 Bonds are general obligations of the District secured and payable from Pledged Revenue which include Property Tax Revenue, net of costs of collection, and any other legally available amounts. The 2016 Bonds are due December 1, 2040, with an interest rate of 2.00%-5.25%, paid semiannually on June 1 and December 1. The 2016 Bonds maturing on December 1, 2040, are subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the District, as a whole or in integral multiples of \$5,000, in any order of maturity, and in whole of partial maturities (and if in part in such order of maturities as the District is to determine and by lot within maturities), on December 1, 2026, and on any date thereafter, upon payment of par, and accrued interest thereon, without redemption premium. The 2016 Bonds maturing on December 1, 2033, are subject to mandatory sinking fund redemption, prior to maturity, in part, by lot in such manner as the Trustee is to determine, on December 1 of each year at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof (with no redemption premium), plus accrued interest.

Further, the District entered into a Capital Pledge Agreement in October 2010 as amended in January 2014, pursuant to which the District is obligated to impose an ad valorem property tax levy without limitation as to rate, to pay a portion of principal and accrued interest on Poudre Tech Metropolitan District's Property Tax Supported Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2010 A, B, and C in the aggregate principal amount of \$25,675,000 issued pursuant to a 2010 Indenture of Trust with UMB Bank. During 2016, the District transferred \$1,176,329 to Poudre Tech Metropolitan District in compliance of its obligation under the Capital Pledge Agreement.

### NOTE 4 - LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS (CONTINUED)

The 2016 Bonds will mature as follows:

	Principal			Interest	Total		
2017	ď	00 000	φ	220 604	<b>ው</b>	420.604	
2017	\$	90,000	\$	339,694	\$	429,694	
2018		235,000		337,894		572,894	
2019		240,000		332,606		572,606	
2020		245,000		326,606		571,606	
2021		255,000		320,175		575,175	
2022-2026		1,380,000		1,482,274		2,862,274	
2027-2031		1,645,000		1,214,400		2,859,400	
2032-2036		2,020,000		842,550		2,862,550	
2037-2040		2,015,000		271,426		2,286,426	
	\$	8,125,000	\$	5,467,625	\$	13,592,625	

### **NOTE 5 - AUTHORIZED DEBT**

On November 8, 1994, the District's voters authorized the issuance of indebtedness in an amount not to exceed \$30,405,000. At December 31, 2016, the District had authorized but unissued indebtedness in the following amounts allocated for the following purposes:

								uthorization				
			Αι	uthorization	Αι	uthorization	Us	ed for Pledge	Au	thorization		
		Amount		Used for		Used for	Ag	reement with		Used	Α	uthorized
	Αı	uthorized on		2005		2008	Operating District			for		But
	Nov	ember 8, 1994		Bonds		Bonds	2	010 Bonds	2	.014 Loan		Unissued
Water	\$	5,720,000	\$	(900,000)	\$	-	\$	(3,076,562)	\$	(565,767)	\$	1,177,671
Sanitation		6,150,000		(3,075,000)		-		(578,924)		(2,378,342)		117,734
Streets		11,865,000		(2,325,000)		(5,200,000)		(1,883,849)		(2,215,857)		240,294
Parks and Recreation		4,860,000		(1,050,000)		-		-		(2,985,132)		824,868
Traffic/Safety Protection		710,000		(150,000)		-		(291,690)		(94,902)		173,408
Fire Protection		420,000		-		-		-		-		420,000
TV Relay/Translation		680,000				-						680,000
	\$	30,405,000	\$	(7,500,000)	\$	(5,200,000)	\$	(5,831,025)	\$	(8,240,000)	\$	3,633,975

Pursuant to the Service Plan, the maximum general obligation bonded indebtedness for Financing Districts is not expected to exceed \$21,000,000 (in 1995 dollars) exclusive of costs of issuance, organization costs, inflation, contingencies and other similar costs.

The electoral authorization used for 2005 Bonds was reallocated in January 2014 through a resolution approved by the District's Board of Directors for the purpose of correlating/adjusting the allocation with the actual uses of net proceeds.

### NOTE 5 - AUTHORIZED DEBT (CONTINUED)

The 2016 Bonds constitutes a financing of District indebtedness at a lower interest rate and the principal amount of the Bonds is not in excess of the original principal amount of the Loan; therefore, the issuance of the 2016 Bonds did not require electoral authorization.

In the future, the District may issue a portion or all of the remaining authorized but unissued debt for purposes of providing public improvements to support development as it occurs within the District's service area, however, as of the date of this audit, the amount and timing of any future debt issuances are not determinable.

#### **NOTE 6 – NET POSITION**

The District has net position consisting of two components – restricted and unrestricted.

Restricted net position consists of assets that are restricted for use as imposed by external parties such as creditors, grantors or contributors, or as imposed by laws or regulations of other governments, or as imposed through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. At December 31, 2016, the District had restricted net position of \$227,268 for debt service and \$16 for capital projects

The District has a deficit in unrestricted net position. This negative net position is a result of the District being responsible for the repayment of long-term debt and accrued interest issued to finance public improvements previously constructed and to be constructed by Poudre Tech Metropolitan District, Operating District, for the benefit of the District.

#### **NOTE 7 - AGREEMENTS**

### Amended and Restated Facilities Construction and Service Agreement ("Master IGA")

As contemplated by the Service Plan, the District entered into an Amended and Restated Facilities Construction and Service Agreement ("Master IGA"), dated February 17, 2014, with Water Valley Metropolitan District No. 1 ("District No. 1") and Poudre Tech Metropolitan District ("PTMD") (collectively, the "Districts"), pursuant to which the Districts have agreed that PTMD will own, operate, maintain and construct public improvements benefiting all Districts, and that the District and District No. 1 will pay all costs related to construction, operation and maintenance of such public improvements, through their issuance of general obligation debt and/or the payment to PTMD for debt service of bonds issued by PTMD. In furtherance of the Master IGA, for the purpose of funding costs of public improvements, PTMD entered into a Facilities Acquisition Agreement ("FAA") dated July 7, 2005, with Trollco, Inc. ("Developer"), the payment of which constitutes an obligation of the District under the Master IGA. Per the terms of the FAA, any amounts outstanding as of December 31, 2015, were deemed a contribution to PTMD and PTMD has no continuing obligation to the Developer.

### **NOTE 7 – AGREEMENTS (CONTINUED)**

### **Capital Pledge Agreement**

The District entered into a Capital Pledge Agreement in October 2010 as amended in January 2014, pursuant to which the District is obligated to impose an ad valorem property tax levy without limitation as to rate to pay for a portion of principal and accrued interest payable on PTMD's Property Tax Supported Revenue Refunding and Improvement Bonds, Series 2010 A, B, and C in the aggregate principal amount of \$25,675,000 issued pursuant to a 2010 Indenture of Trust with UMB Bank ("2010 Bonds"). The Capital Pledge Agreement, as amended, provides for the allocation between the District and District No. 1 of required debt service on the 2010 Bonds.

### Infrastructure Acquisition and Reimbursement Agreement

An Infrastructure Acquisition and Reimbursement Agreement (IARA), dated January 30, 2014, was entered into by the District and Trollco, Inc. ("Developer") pursuant to which the District agrees to reimburse the Developer for all District Eligible Costs incurred by the Developer for public infrastructure within the boundaries of the District. "District Eligible Costs" means all costs of any kind related to the provision of the public infrastructure that may be lawfully funded by the District under Colorado's Special District Act and the Service Plan, and with respect to which the District has available electoral authorization to incur indebtedness to finance the same. The provision for repayment of amounts under this agreement shall be subject to annual appropriation by the District.

### **Agreement Regarding Payment in Lieu of Taxes**

The Developer sold a property to The Evangelical Lutheran Good Samaritan Society ("Society") as evidenced by a Definitive Purchase Agreement, dated February 10, 2004, between the Developer and the Society. The property sold by the Developer to the Society is located within the District and PTMD (collectively, the "Districts"). Under the agreement, the Society, a taxexempt entity, agrees to pay the Districts, on an annual basis, an amount equal to whatever mill levy is assessed by the Districts for other property owners within the Districts, based on the assessed valuation of the property sold to the Society as determined by Weld County.

### **NOTE 8 - RELATED PARTIES**

The Developer of the property which constitutes the District is Trollco Inc., a Colorado corporation (Developer). Certain members of the Board of Directors of the District are officers or employees of or related to the Developer or an entity affiliated with the Developer or the majority owner of the Developer, and may have conflicts of interest in dealing with the District.

#### **NOTE 9 - RISK MANAGEMENT**

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; thefts of, damage to, or destruction of assets; errors or omissions; or acts of God.

The District was a member of the Colorado Special Districts Property and Liability Pool (Pool). The Pool is an organization created by intergovernmental agreement to provide property, liability, public officials' liability, boiler and machinery and workers compensation coverage to its members. Settled claims have not exceeded this coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

The District pays annual premiums to the Pool for liability and public officials' liability coverage. In the event aggregated losses incurred by the Pool exceed amounts recoverable from reinsurance contracts and funds accumulated by the Pool, the Pool may require additional contributions from the Pool members. Any excess funds which the Pool determines are not needed for purposes of the Pool may be returned to the members pursuant to a distribution formula.

### **NOTE 10 - TAX, SPENDING AND DEBT LIMITATIONS**

Article X, Section 20 of the Colorado Constitution, commonly known as the Taxpayer's Bill of Rights (TABOR), contains tax, spending, revenue and debt limitations which apply to the State of Colorado and all local governments.

Spending and revenue limits are determined based on the prior year's Fiscal Year Spending adjusted for allowable increases based upon inflation and local growth. Fiscal Year Spending is generally defined as expenditures plus reserve increases with certain exceptions. Revenue in excess of the Fiscal Year Spending limit must be refunded unless the voters approve retention of such revenue.

TABOR requires local governments to establish Emergency Reserves. These reserves must be at least 3% of Fiscal Year Spending (excluding bonded debt service). Local governments are not allowed to use the emergency reserves to compensate for economic conditions, revenue shortfalls, or salary or benefit increases. As of December 31, 2016, the District has not provided for an emergency reserve equal to at least 3% of fiscal year spending, as defined under TABOR, because net tax revenue is transferred to Poudre Tech Metropolitan District, the Operating District, which provides for the required reserve amount. Additionally, the District has committed to maintain a reasonable level of unrestricted fund balance in the General Fund to mitigate temporary revenue shortfalls or unplanned one-time expenditures that may occur in the future.

The District's management has taken steps it believes are necessary to comply with the provisions of TABOR. However, TABOR is complex and subject to interpretation. Many of the provisions, including the interpretation of how to calculate Fiscal Year Spending limits, will require judicial interpretation.

This information is an integral part of the accompanying financial statements.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** 

### WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 DEBT SERVICE FUND

### SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

Year Ended December 31, 2016

	Budget A		Actual	Variance with Final Budget Positive
	Original	Final	Amounts	(Negative)
REVENUES				
Property taxes	\$ 1,942,382	\$ 1,942,382	\$ 1,945,313	\$ 2,931
Specific ownership taxes	155,391	100,113	115,022	14,909
Interest income	600	1,600	2,895	1,295
Total revenues	2,098,373	2,044,095	2,063,230	19,135
EXPENDITURES				
County Treasurer's fees	29,136	29,136	29,207	(71)
2016 G.O. Bonds interest	-	134,934	134,934	-
2014 G.O. Loan - interest	333,310	202,398	202,398	-
2014 G.O. Loan - principal	550,000	8,240,000	8,240,000	-
Cost of issuance	-	185,373	185,373	
Miscellaneous	525	1,236	60	1,176
Transfers to PTMD - funding for capital costs	-	211,640	156,633	55,007
Transfers to PTMD - Series 2010 Bonds	1,176,329	1,176,283	1,176,329	(46)
Total expenditures	2,089,300	10,181,000	10,124,934	56,066
EXCESS OF REVENUES OVER (UNDER) EXPENDITURES	9,073	(8,136,905)	(8,061,704)	75,201
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Bond issuance	-	8,125,000	8,125,000	-
Bond premium		371,133	371,133	
Total other financing sources (uses)		8,496,133	8,496,133	
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES	9,073	359,228	434,429	75,201
FUND BALANCES - BEGINNING OF YEAR	16,815	163,758	163,758	<u>-</u> _
FUND BALANCES - END OF YEAR	\$ 25,888	\$ 522,986	\$ 598,187	\$ 75,201

### WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 SCHEDULE OF DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS TO MATURITY December 31, 2016

## \$8,125,000 General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016 Dated July 8, 2016 Principal due December 1

Interest Rate 2.00% - 5.25 % Payable June 1 and December 1

Year Ended December 31,	Principal	Interest	Total
<u> </u>	 о.ра.	 	 
2017	\$ 90,000	\$ 339,694	\$ 429,694
2018	235,000	337,894	572,894
2019	240,000	332,606	572,606
2020	245,000	326,606	571,606
2021	255,000	320,175	575,175
2022	260,000	313,162	573,162
2023	265,000	305,362	570,362
2024	275,000	297,081	572,081
2025	285,000	288,144	573,144
2026	295,000	278,525	573,525
2027	305,000	268,200	573,200
2028	315,000	256,000	571,000
2029	330,000	243,400	573,400
2030	340,000	230,200	570,200
2031	355,000	216,600	571,600
2032	370,000	202,400	572,400
2033	385,000	187,600	572,600
2034	400,000	172,200	572,200
2035	420,000	151,200	571,200
2036	445,000	129,150	574,150
2037	465,000	105,788	570,788
2038	490,000	81,375	571,375
2039	515,000	55,650	570,650
2040	 545,000	28,613	573,613
	\$ 8,125,000	\$ 5,467,625	\$ 13,592,625

### WATER VALLEY METROPOLITAN DISTRICT NO. 2 SUMMARY OF ASSESSED VALUATION, MILL LEVY AND PROPERTY TAXES COLLECTED December 31, 2016

Year Ended	f	Prior Year Assessed Valuation for Current ear Property	Mills L	_evied Debt		Total Pror	ortv	Tayos	Percent Collected
December 31,	10	Tax Levy	General	Service		Total Property Taxes Levied Collected			to Levied
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	16,893,730 17,836,630 18,266,193 20,326,230 84,359,680	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 15.975	26.000 39.000 39.000 39.000 23.025	\$ \$ \$ \$	439,237 695,629 712,382 792,723 3,290,028	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	434,103 675,386 712,774 788,365 3,294,992	98.83% 97.09% 100.06% 99.45% 100.15%
Estimated for year ending December 31, 2017	\$	81,309,260	20.907	18.093	\$	3,171,061			

AS REQI	IG DISCLOSU HE GENERAL		

TABLE II
Construction Activity in First Phase of WV2 Development

Home Type/ Homebuilder	Total Platted Lots/Planned Units <sup>1</sup>	Base Sales Price	Square Footage	Number of Building Permits Issued <sup>2</sup>	Remaining Inventory <sup>3</sup>
Single-Family Detached:	1,074				
D.R. Horton Cal Atlantic Homes Lennar Pelican Farms The Ridge at Water Valley	94 117 55 202 34	\$359,950 - \$469,950 \$345,490 - \$390,990 \$359,900 - \$379,900 unknown unknown	1,782 - 4,130 1,871 - 2,734 1,671 - 1,988 unknown unknown	44 39 49 94	50 78 6 108 34
Other <sup>4</sup> Single-Family Attached:	572 <b>162</b>	unknown	unknown	506	66
Developer - Sorvino Landmark <sup>6 -</sup> Lighthouse	50 112	\$2,200 - \$2,400 <sup>5</sup> \$272,400 - \$339,900	1,800 - 2,000 1,455 - 1,794	86	50 26
Multi-Family: Apartments	332				
Journey Homes L3 Comm Duplex - Good Sam	196 120 16			- - 4	196 120 12
Total	1,568			822	746

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reflects the total number of lots platted for single-family detached homes, single-family attached homes, and condominiums as well as the total number of apartment units planned.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Represents lots for which the Town of Windsor has issued a building permit, as of March 31, 2017. This includes lots for which title has transferred to individual homeowners as well as lots upon which homes may have been constructed but have not yet been sold to homeowners. No specific information is available to the District with regard to the total number of homes that have been sold and closed to homeowners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Represents lots for which no building permit has been issued by the Town of Windsor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Includes custom homebuilders and other miscellaneous homebuilders, for which no additional information is known or provided.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Reflects anticipated monthly rent for high-end income producing townhomes. The Developer anticipates commencing construction of such townhomes in mid-to late-2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The sole active single-family attached builder in the first phase of the WV2 Development is Landmark Construction Solutions, Inc., a Colorado corporation, doing business as Landmark Homes ("Landmark Homes"). Source: The District and the Town of Windsor.

Table III
History of District's Assessed Valuation and Mill Levies

		_		Mill Levies	
Levy/Collection	Assessed	Percent	General	Debt Service	Total
Year	Valuation	Increase	Fund	Fund	Mill Levy
2010/2011	\$ 20,113,720	-	0.000	20.000	20.000
2011/2012	16,893,730	(16.01)	0.000	26.000	26.000
2012/2013	17,836,630	5.58	0.000	39.000	39.000
2013/2014	18,266,193	2.41	0.000	39.000	39.000
2014/2015	20,326,230	11.28	0.000	39.000	39.000
2015/2016	84,359,680	315.03	15.975	23.025	39.000
2016/2017	81,309,260	(3.62)	20.907	18.093	39.000

Sources: Weld County Assessor's Office and the District.

Table IV 2016 Assessed and "Actual" Valuation of Classes of Property in the District

Class	 Assessed Valuation	Percent of Assessed Valuation	"Actual" Valuation		Percent of "Actual" Valuation
Oil & Gas	\$ 52,537,150	64.61%	\$	62,466,193	16.71%
Residential	23,276,210	28.63%		292,424,317	78.22%
Vacant Land	2,913,030	3.58%		10,046,495	2.69%
Commercial	2,203,100	2.71%		7,596,864	2.03%
State Assessed	329,980	0.41%		1,137,847	0.30%
Agricultural	49,030	0.06%		169,088	0.05%
Minerals	760	0.00%		2,614	0.00%
Total	\$ 81,309,260	100.00%	\$	373,843,418	100.00%

Source: Weld County Assessor's Office

Table V
History of District's Property Tax Collections

Levy/Collection Year	Tax	ces Levied	 Property Tax Collections	Tax Collections as Percent of Tax Levied
2010/2011	\$	402,274	\$ 401,271	99.75%
2011/2012		439,237	435,729	99.20%
2012/2013		695,629	679,303	97.65%
2013/2014		712,382	712,071	99.96%
2014/2015		792,723	787,415	99.33%
2015/2016		3,290,028	3,294,992	100.15%

Source: Through 2014/2015 - from the Official Statement for the General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2016. From 2015/2016 - from the District.

Table VIII

General Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

	2012		2012 2013		2014		2015		2016	
Revenues:										
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,349,679
Specific Ownership Taxes		-		-		-		-		79,803
Payment in Lieu of Taxes - Good Samaritan		-	g	6,761	9	5,191	95	5,191		105,791
Interest Income		-		-		-		-		1,395
Total Revenues		_	g	96,761	9	5,191	98	5,191		1,536,668
Expenditures:										
General Government		42		-		-		-		80
County Treasurer's Fees		-		-		-		-		20,264
Transfer to Poudre Tech - Other 1		-	g	6,761	9	5,191		-		1,360,006
Total Expenditures		42	Ç	96,761	9	5,191		-		1,380,350
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures		(42)		-		-	9	5,191		156,318
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
Transfers In (Out)		(191)		-		-	(9	5,191)		_
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)		(191)		-			(9	5,191)		-
Net Change in Fund Balance		(233)		-		-		-		156,318
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year		233		<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
Fund Balance - End of Year	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$	156,318

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Transfer to Poudre Tech for operations and capital costs.

Source: District's audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012-2016.

Table IX

Debt Service Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

		2012		2013		2014		2015		2016
Revenues:										
Taxes <sup>1</sup>	\$	466,318	\$	723,406	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Property Taxes <sup>1</sup>		-		-		712,774		788,365		1,945,313
Specific Ownership Taxes <sup>1</sup>		-		-		60,032		52,886		115,022
Interest Income		6,188		5,124		1,576		1,182		2,895
Payment in Lieu of Taxes - Good Samaritan		64,817		-		-		-		-
Total Revenues		537,323		728,530		774,382		842,433		2,063,230
Expenditures:										
General Government <sup>2</sup>		7,361		10,241		-		-		-
County Treasurer's Fees <sup>2</sup>		-		-		10,715		11,840		29,207
Transfer to Poudre Tech - Other <sup>3</sup>		-		-		5,237,493		2,344,223		156,633
Miscellaneous <sup>2</sup>		-		_		143		40		60
Debt Service										
Loan Interest		-		-		167,297		295,409		202,398
Loan Principal		-		-		-		-		8,240,000
Loan Cost of Issuance		-		-		279,347		-		-
Bond interest		-		-		-		-		134,934
Bond Cost of Issuance		-		-		-		-		185,373
Transfer to Poudre Tech - 2010 PTMD Bonds		,052,975		721,751		759,776		687,234		1,176,329
Total Expenditures		,060,336		731,992		6,454,771		3,338,746	_	10,124,934
Excess of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	(	(523,013)		(3,462)	(	5,680,389)	(	2,496,313)		(8,061,704)
Other Financing Sources (Uses)										
Loan Proceeds		-		-		5,619,727		2,620,273		-
Bond Issuance		-		-		-		-		8,125,000
Bond Premium		- 		-		-		<u>-</u>		371,133
Transfers In		191				-		95,191		
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)		191				5,619,727	_	2,715,464		8,496,133
Net Change in Fund Balance	(	(522,822)		(3,462)		(60,662)		219,151		434,429
Fund Balance - Beginning of Year		531,553		8,731		5,269		(55,393)		163,758
Fund Balance - End of Year	\$	8,731	\$	5,269	\$	(55,393)	\$	163,758	\$	598,187
			_		_		_			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In 2014, the District began to separately account for property tax and specific ownership tax revenue.

Source: District's audited financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2012-2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In 2014, the District began to separately account for general government expenditures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Transfer to Poudre Tech for capital costs.

Table X
General Fund Budget Summary and Comparison

	2015 Bu (as adop	•	2016 Budge (as amended		2016 Year-To-Date Actual		
Beginning Fund Balance	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Revenues: Property Taxes Specific Ownership Taxes Payment in Lieu of Taxes - Good Samaritan Transfer from Poudre Tech Cost reimbursement Interest Income		- - 5,191 9,246 -	1,347,64 69,46 105,79 650,00	1 1 - 0		1,349,679 79,803 105,791 - - 1,395	
Total Revenues	244	4,437	2,173,79	8		1,536,668	
Total Funds Available	244	4,437	2,173,79	8		1,536,668	
Expenditures: County Treasurer's Fees Miscellaneous Transfer to Poudre Tech Total Expenditures		- - - -	20,21 8 2,003,50 2,023,79	0		20,264 80 1,360,006 1,380,350	
Transfers Out (Debt Service Fund)	244	4,437					
Total Expenditures and Transfers Out Requiring Appropriation Ending Fund Balance	24 <sup>4</sup>	4,437 <u>-</u>	2,023,79 \$ 150,00		\$	1,380,350 156,318	

Sources: District's adopted 2015 and 2016 budgets and the District's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Table XI

Debt Service Fund Budget Summary and Comparison

	2015 Budget (as adopted)	2016 Budget (as amended)	2016 Year-To-Date Actual
Beginning Fund Balance	\$ 18,949	\$ 163,758	\$ 163,758
Revenues: Property Taxes Specific Ownership Taxes Interest income Loan Proceeds Bond Issuance	792,723 63,418 1,500 2,620,273	1,942,382 100,113 1,600 - 8,125,000	1,945,313 115,022 2,895 - 8,125,000
Bond Premium	-	371,133	371,133
Total Revenues	3,477,914	10,540,228	10,559,363
Transfers In (General Fund)	244,437		
Total Funds Available	3,741,300	10,703,986	10,723,121
Expenditures: County Treasurer's Fees Loan Principal Loan Interest Miscellaneous Bond interest - Series 2016 Cost of issuance Transfer to Poudre Tech - Other Transfer to Poudre Tech - 2010 PTMD Bonds Total Expenditures	11,891 250,000 270,290 316 - 2,521,569 687,234 3,741,300	29,136 8,240,000 202,398 1,236 134,934 185,373 211,640 1,176,283	29,207 8,240,000 202,398 60 134,934 185,373 156,633 1,176,329
Total Expenditures Requiring Appropriation Ending Fund Balance	3,741,300	10,181,000 \$ 522,986	10,124,934 \$ 598,187

Sources: District's adopted 2015 and 2016 budgets and the District's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2016.